



TITLE:

# The Spherical Growth Series for Pure Artin Groups of Dihedral Type

AUTHOR(S):

Fujii, Michihiko

---

CITATION:

Fujii, Michihiko. The Spherical Growth Series for Pure Artin Groups of Dihedral Type.  
Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences 2013, 49(3): 497-530

ISSUE DATE:

2013

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/179141>

RIGHT:

© 2013 Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University;  
This is not the published version. Please cite only the published  
version.; この論文は出版社版ではありません。引用の際には出版社版を  
ご確認ご利用ください。

# The spherical growth series for pure Artin groups of dihedral type \*

Michihiko Fujii<sup>†</sup>

June 11, 2013

## Abstract

In this paper, we consider the kernel of the natural projection from the Artin group of dihedral type  $I_2(k)$  to the corresponding Coxeter group, which we call the pure Artin group of dihedral type. We present a rational function expression for the spherical growth series of the pure Artin group of dihedral type with respect to a natural generating set.

## 1 Introduction

For a finitely generated group  $G$  with a given generating set  $\Gamma$ , we have the concept of the spherical growth series

$$\mathcal{S}_{G,\Gamma}(t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n t^n,$$

where  $\alpha_n$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$  is the number of elements in  $G$  whose lengths with respect to  $\Gamma$  are equal to  $n$  (cf. [Mil] and [Sc]). The series  $\mathcal{S}_{G,\Gamma}(t)$  provides a method to derive the combinatorial structure of  $(G, \Gamma)$ . In many cases, this series is known to be rational (cf. [Br], [C1], [C2], [Ca], [Ca-W], [dlH], [E], [E-IF-Z] and [Fl-P]). Rationality is usually proved by determining a particular geodesic representative for each element of  $G$  with respect to  $\Gamma$  and showing that the set of all such geodesics is recognized by deterministic, finite-state automata. In fact, Mairesse and Mathéus [M-M] constructed such automata for each Artin group  $G_{I_2(k)}$  of dihedral type and obtained an explicit rational function expression for its spherical growth series with respect to the standard generating set  $\Sigma$ . (The rational function expression for the case  $k = 3$  is also given in Sabalka [Sab].) In this paper, we consider the pure Artin group  $P_{I_2(k)}$  that is the kernel of the projection from  $G_{I_2(k)}$  to its Coxeter group,  $\overline{G}_{I_2(k)}$ . The group  $P_{I_2(k)}$  is geometrically realized as the fundamental group of the complement of some arrangement of  $k$  complex lines in  $\mathbf{C}^2$ , which has a natural generating set  $A$  (cf. [R]). In particular, in the case  $k = 3$ ,  $P_{I_2(3)}$  is the pure braid group with three strands, and

\*Communicated by T. Ohtsuki. Received June 22, 2012. Revised February 12, 2013.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 20F36, 20F05, 20F10; Secondary 68R15.

Key words: Artin group of dihedral type; pure braid group; spherical growth series; Garside type normal form; automaton; automatic group.

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan.  
e-mail: mfujii@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

$A$  is the standard generating set. Here, we present a rational function expression for the spherical growth series of  $P_{I_2(k)}$  with respect to the generating set  $A$ .

Although the presentation of the group  $P_{I_2(k)}$  over  $A$  does not resemble that of  $G_{I_2(k)}$  over  $\Sigma$ , the arguments similar to those used in [G] regarding the latter can also be applied to the case of  $P_{I_2(k)}$ . For example, noting the similar structures of the fundamental blocks considered here (defined in § 2) and Garside's generators, we can set up a correspondence between the sets of each, and using this correspondence, we can obtain a normal form for each element of  $P_{I_2(k)}$  that is similar to Garside's normal form for an element of  $G_{I_2(k)}$  (see Proposition 2.9). Then, the procedure presented in [M-M] (the so-called 'suitable-spread procedure') can be applied to these normal forms. Specifically, we show that for any element  $g$  of  $P_{I_2(k)}$  that has a representative of a particular type (Type 3 defined in § 3), all of the geodesic representatives of  $g$  can be obtained by applying the suitable-spread procedure to its normal form (see Proposition 3.7). In this way, we can determine all of the geodesic representatives of this element. Moreover, even in the case that several geodesic representatives exist for such a given element of  $P_{I_2(k)}$ , we show that only one of these satisfies a certain necessary criterion, and hence, the proper geodesic representative for that element too is uniquely determined (see Proposition 3.9). These geodesic representatives can be expressed as elements of the free monoid generated by a finite set  $\Lambda$ , and Proposition 3.9 presents a sufficient condition for the existence of deterministic, finite-state automata over  $\Lambda$  that recognize these geodesic representatives. Then, in § 4, we construct such automata explicitly. Next, by considering the structure of these automata, we derive an exact form of the rational function expression for the spherical growth series of  $P_{I_2(k)}$  (see Theorem 5.7).

We define the positive monoid  $P_{I_2(k)}^+$  for  $P_{I_2(k)}$  and show that a natural homomorphism from  $P_{I_2(k)}^+$  to  $P_{I_2(k)}$  is injective (see Proposition 2.7). This is by no means obvious (cf. [G], [B-Sai], [D], [E] and [Mic]). Here, we prove it using an argument similar to that given in [G]. (In [F-S 2], an alternative proof is given.) The injectivity of the map from  $P_{I_2(k)}^+$  to  $P_{I_2(k)}$  implies many fundamental results. The most important of these are presented in Proposition 2.9 and Lemma 3.1.

## 2 Pure Artin groups of dihedral type

Let  $k$  be an integer greater than 2, and let  $G_{I_2(k)}$  be the *Artin group of dihedral type  $I_2(k)$* , which is defined by

$$G_{I_2(k)} := \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \mid \langle \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rangle^k = \langle \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \rangle^k \rangle,$$

where

$$\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle^k := \underbrace{\sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_i \cdots}_{k \text{ letters}}.$$

The *Coxeter group of dihedral type* is a group presented by

$$\overline{G}_{I_2(k)} := \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \mid \langle \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rangle^k = \langle \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \rangle^k, \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = 1 \rangle.$$

The group  $\overline{G}_{I_2(k)}$  is the dihedral group of order  $2k$ . Let  $\sigma := \sigma_1\sigma_2$  and  $\tau := \sigma_2$ . Then we have the usual presentation of the dihedral group:

$$\langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^k = \tau^2 = (\sigma\tau)^2 = 1 \rangle.$$

Next, note that there is a natural surjective homomorphism

$$p : G_{I_2(k)} \rightarrow \overline{G}_{I_2(k)}.$$

Let us call the kernel of  $p$  the *pure Artin group of dihedral type* and write it  $P_{I_2(k)}$ . Then, using the Reidemeister-Schreier method, we obtain the following presentation of the pure Artin group of dihedral type (cf. [F-S 2]):

$$P_{I_2(k)} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \mid a_1 \cdots a_k = a_2 \cdots a_k a_1 = a_3 \cdots a_k a_1 a_2 = \cdots = a_k a_1 \cdots a_{k-1} \rangle. \quad (1)$$

**Example 2.1** (Case  $k = 3$ ) *The pure braid group of three strands is a geometric realization of the pure Artin group*

$$P_{I_2(3)} = \langle a_1, a_2, a_3 \mid a_1 a_2 a_3 = a_2 a_3 a_1 = a_3 a_1 a_2 \rangle.$$

The generators  $a_1, a_2$  and  $a_3$  are themselves braids and are given in terms of the standard Artin generators of the braid group of three strands,  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ , as follows:  $a_1 = \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2$ ,  $a_2 = \sigma_1 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_1$  and  $a_3 = \sigma_1^{-2}$ . Now, let  $A_{12} := a_3^{-1} = \sigma_1^2$ ,  $A_{13} := a_3 a_2 = \sigma_1^{-1} \sigma_2^2 \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^{-1}$  and  $A_{23} := a_3 a_1 = \sigma_2^2$ . Then, we obtain the same presentation of  $P_{I_2(k)}$ :

$$P_{I_2(3)} = \langle A_{12}, A_{13}, A_{23} \mid A_{12} A_{13} A_{23} = A_{13} A_{23} A_{12} = A_{23} A_{12} A_{13} \rangle.$$

Here, note that  $\{A_{12}, A_{13}, A_{23}\}$  is the standard generating set of the pure braid group of three strands (cf. [Bi]).

The group  $P_{I_2(k)}$  can also be realized geometrically as complex line arrangements in the complex 2-dimensional space  $\mathbf{C}^2$ . More precisely, the fundamental group of the complement of  $k$  complex lines in  $\mathbf{C}^2$  that intersect each other at the origin has the presentation (1) with an appropriate base point (cf. [R]).

Now, we define the sets

$$\begin{aligned} A^+ &:= \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}, \\ A^- &:= \{a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_k^{-1}\}, \\ A &:= A^+ \cup A^-. \end{aligned}$$

Then, let  $A^*$ ,  $(A^+)^*$  and  $(A^-)^*$  be the free monoids generated by  $A$ ,  $A^+$  and  $A^-$ , respectively. We refer to  $A$  as an *alphabet*, its elements as *letters*, and the elements of  $A^*$  as *words*. The elements of  $A^+$  and  $A^-$  are referred to as *positive letters* and *negative letters*, respectively, while the elements of  $(A^+)^*$  and  $(A^-)^*$  are referred to as *positive words* and *negative words*, respectively. The length of a word  $w$  is the number of letters it contains, which is denoted by  $|w|$ . The length of the null word,  $\varepsilon$ , is zero. The null word is the identity of each monoid.

We write the canonical monoid homomorphism as  $\pi : A^* \rightarrow P_{I_2(k)}$ . If  $u$  and  $v$  are words, then  $u = v$  means that  $\pi(u) = \pi(v)$  and  $u \equiv v$  means that  $u$  and  $v$  are identical letter by letter. A word  $w \in \pi^{-1}(g)$  is called a *representative* of  $g$ . The length of a group element  $g$  is regarded as the quantity

$$\|g\| = \min\{l \mid g = \pi(s_1 \cdots s_l), s_i \in A\}.$$

A word  $w \in A^*$  is *geodesic* if  $|w| = \|\pi(w)\|$ . A word  $w_1 \cdots w_m \in A^*$  is called a *reduced* word if  $w_i \neq w_{i+1}^{-1}$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ . A geodesic representative is a reduced word.

Now, for each  $q \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we make the definition

$$\alpha_q := \#\{g \in P_{I_2(k)} \mid \|g\| = q\}.$$

The *spherical growth series* of  $P_{I_2(k)}$  with respect to  $A$  is the formal power series

$$\mathcal{S}_{P_{I_2(k)}}(t) := \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \alpha_q t^q.$$

It is well known that the radius of convergence of the spherical growth series of any finitely generated group is positive. Thus,  $\mathcal{S}_{P_{I_2(k)}}(t)$  is a holomorphic function near the origin, 0.

As a group,  $P_{I_2(k)}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z} \times F_{k-1}$ , where  $F_{k-1}$  is the free group of rank  $k-1$  (cf. [F-S 2]). Hence, the group structure of  $P_{I_2(k)}$  is quite simple. In this paper, however, we wish to elucidate the combinatorial group structure of  $P_{I_2(k)}$  with respect to the presentation (1). In particular, we investigate the growth series of the group  $P_{I_2(k)}$  with respect to the generating set  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_k, a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_k^{-1}\}$ . Note that the rationality of the spherical growth series depends on the generating set for some groups (cf. [St]). We will see in this paper that the spherical growth series  $\mathcal{S}_{P_{I_2(k)}}(t)$  of  $P_{I_2(k)}$  with respect to  $A$  is rational.

The *pure Artin monoid* of dihedral type is a monoid presented by

$$P_{I_2(k)}^+ := \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \mid a_1 \cdots a_k = a_2 \cdots a_k a_1 = \cdots = a_k a_1 \cdots a_{k-1} \rangle^+,$$

where the right-hand side is the quotient of the free monoid  $(A^+)^*$  by an equivalence relation on  $(A^+)^*$  defined as follows: (i) two positive words  $\omega, \omega' \in (A^+)^*$  are elementarily equivalent if there are positive words  $u, v \in (A^+)^*$  and indices  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that  $\omega \equiv u(a_i \cdots a_k a_1 \cdots a_{i-1})v$  and  $\omega' \equiv u(a_j \cdots a_k a_1 \cdots a_{j-1})v$ ; (ii) two positive words  $\omega, \omega' \in (A^+)^*$  are equivalent if there is a sequence  $\omega_0 \equiv \omega, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_l \equiv \omega'$  for some  $l \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$  such that  $\omega_s$  is elementarily equivalent to  $\omega_{s+1}$  for  $s = 0, \dots, l-1$ . We say that  $\omega'$  is obtained from  $\omega$  by a *positive transformation of chain length*  $l$ . If two positive words  $\omega$  and  $\omega'$  belong to the same equivalence class, we say that they are *positively equal* and write  $\omega \doteq \omega'$ . If  $\omega \equiv \omega'$ , then  $\omega \doteq \omega'$  by definition. It is also obvious that if  $\omega \doteq \omega'$ , then  $\omega = \omega'$  and  $|\omega| = |\omega'|$ .

There is a natural homomorphism  $P_{I_2(k)}^+ \rightarrow P_{I_2(k)}$ . Below, we show that this homomorphism is injective by following the argument given in [G]. (For another proof, see [F-S 2].)

We first show the following:

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $X, Y \in (A^+)^*$ . Assume that  $a_i X \doteq a_j Y$  for  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Then, the following hold.*

1. *If  $j = i$ , then  $X \doteq Y$ .*
2. *If  $j \neq i$ , then there exists a positive word  $Z \in (A^+)^*$  such that  $X \doteq (a_{i+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{i-1})Z$  and  $Y \doteq (a_{j+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{j-1})Z$ .*

*Proof.* We refer to the assertion of this lemma in the case of words  $X$  and  $Y$  of length  $r$  as  $\mathbf{L}_r$ . For  $r = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ , this assertion takes simpler forms that are readily proven. These are given below.

$\mathbf{L}_r$  ( $0 \leq r \leq k-2$ ): When  $X$  and  $Y$  are positive words of length  $r$ , the following hold.

1. If  $a_i X \doteq a_i Y$ , then  $X \equiv Y$ .
2. If  $j \neq i$  and  $a_i X \doteq a_j Y$ , then  $a_i X$  cannot be positively equal to  $a_j Y$ .

$\mathbf{L}_{k-1}$ : When  $X$  and  $Y$  are positive words of length  $k-1$ , the following hold.

1. If  $a_i X \doteq a_i Y$ , then  $X \equiv Y$ .
2. If  $j \neq i$  and  $a_i X \doteq a_j Y$ , then  $X \equiv a_{i+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{i-1}$  and  $Y \equiv a_{j+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{j-1}$ .

The proof for the general  $r \geq k$  case is obtained by applying induction twice, first with regard to the length of  $X$ , and then with regard to the chain length of the positive transformation. As the induction hypothesis, we assume

- ( $\alpha$ )  $\mathbf{L}_r$  holds for  $0 \leq r \leq R$  for all positive transformations of all chain lengths  $l$ ,  
and  
( $\beta$ )  $\mathbf{L}_{R+1}$  holds for positive transformations of all chain lengths  $l \leq L$ .

Now, let  $X$  and  $Y$  be positive words of length  $R+1$  and suppose that  $a_i X \doteq a_j Y$  holds through a positive transformation of chain length  $L+1$ . Let the successive positive words of the transformation be

$$W_1 \equiv a_i X \rightarrow W_2 \rightarrow W_3 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow W_{L+2} \equiv a_j Y.$$

Next, arbitrarily choose any intermediate word  $W_n \equiv a_m W$  from the sequence, where  $n \neq 1, L+2$  and  $|W| = R+1$ . Then we have

$$a_i X \doteq a_m W \doteq a_j Y. \quad (2)$$

The transformations  $a_i X \rightarrow a_m W$  and  $a_m W \rightarrow a_j Y$  are each of chain length  $l \leq L$ . Thus, we can apply ( $\beta$ ) to them. We now show that  $\mathbf{L}_{R+1}$  holds for  $X$  and  $Y$ . This is done by considering five cases regarding  $i, m$  and  $j$  separately.

**Case 1:**  $j = i$  and  $m = i$ . From (2), we have

$$a_i X \doteq a_i W \doteq a_i Y.$$

Then, from  $(\beta)$ , it follows that  $X \doteq W$  and  $W \doteq Y$ . Thus,  $X \doteq Y$ .

**Case 2:**  $j = i$  and  $m \neq i$ . From (2), we have

$$a_i X \doteq a_m W \doteq a_i Y.$$

From  $(\beta)$ , it follows that there exist positive words  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $X \doteq (a_{i+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{i-1})U$ ,  $W \doteq (a_{m+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{m-1})U$ ,  $W \doteq (a_{m+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{m-1})V$  and  $Y \doteq (a_{i+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{i-1})V$ . Thus, we have  $(a_{m+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{m-1})U \doteq (a_{m+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{m-1})V$ . Here, note that  $|(a_{m+2} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{m-1})U| = |(a_{m+2} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{m-1})V| = R$ . Then, by  $(\alpha)$ , we obtain  $(a_{m+2} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{m-1})U \doteq (a_{m+2} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{m-1})V$ . Repeating this procedure, we finally obtain  $U \doteq V$ . Hence,  $X \doteq (a_{i+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{i-1})U \doteq (a_{i+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{i-1})V \doteq Y$ .

**Case 3:**  $j \neq i$  and  $m = i$ . From (2), we have

$$a_i X \doteq a_i W \doteq a_j Y.$$

From  $(\beta)$ , it follows that  $X \doteq W$  and there exists a positive word  $V$  such that  $W \doteq (a_{i+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{i-1})V$  and  $Y \doteq (a_{j+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{j-1})V$ . Thus, we have  $X \doteq (a_{i+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{i-1})V$  and  $Y \doteq (a_{j+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{j-1})V$ .

**Case 4:**  $j \neq i$  and  $m = j$ . From (2), we have

$$a_i X \doteq a_j W \doteq a_j Y.$$

From  $(\beta)$ , it follows that  $W \doteq Y$ , and there exists a positive word  $U$  such that  $X \doteq (a_{i+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{i-1})U$  and  $W \doteq (a_{j+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{j-1})U$ . Thus, we have  $X \doteq (a_{i+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{i-1})U$  and  $Y \doteq (a_{j+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{j-1})U$ .

**Case 5:**  $j \neq i$  and  $m \neq i, j$ . Here, we have the equality (2). From  $(\beta)$ , it follows that there exist positive words  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $X \doteq (a_{i+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{i-1})U$ ,  $W \doteq (a_{m+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{m-1})U$ ,  $W \doteq (a_{m+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{m-1})V$  and  $Y \doteq (a_{j+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{j-1})V$ . Thus, we have  $(a_{m+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{m-1})U \doteq (a_{m+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{m-1})V$ . Then, applying  $(\alpha)$  repeatedly, we obtain  $U \doteq V$ . Hence,  $X \doteq (a_{i+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{i-1})U \doteq (a_{i+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{i-1})V$  and  $Y \doteq (a_{j+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{j-1})V$ .

It is obvious that  $\mathbf{L}_{R+1}$  is true for chain length 1. Hence, by induction with regard to the chain length,  $\mathbf{L}_{R+1}$  holds for all chain lengths. Finally, applying induction to  $R$  completes the proof.  $\square$

The following lemma is similar.

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $X, Y \in (A^+)^*$ . Assume that  $Xa_i \doteq Ya_j$  for  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Then, the following hold.*

1. If  $j = i$ , then  $X \doteq Y$ .
2. If  $j \neq i$ , then there exists a positive word  $Z \in (A^+)^*$  such that  $X \doteq Z(a_{i+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{i-1})$  and  $Y \doteq Z(a_{j+1} \cdots a_k \cdot a_1 \cdots a_{j-1})$ .

As an immediate consequence of Lemmas 2.2.1 and 2.3.1, we obtain

**Proposition 2.4 (Cancellativity)** *Let  $X, Y, U, V, W$  and  $Z$  be positive words. Then,*

$$U \doteq W, V \doteq Z, UXV \doteq WYZ \implies X \doteq Y.$$

Next, we introduce the following positive word:

$$\nabla := a_1 \cdots a_k \in (A^+)^*.$$

This word satisfies the relation

$$\nabla \equiv a_1 \cdots a_k \doteq a_2 \cdots a_k a_1 \doteq a_3 \cdots a_k a_1 a_2 \doteq \cdots \doteq a_k a_1 \cdots a_{k-1}. \quad (3)$$

From (3), we obtain

**Lemma 2.5**

1. For each  $a_j \in A^+$ , we have  $a_j \nabla \doteq \nabla a_j$ .
2. For each  $a_j \in A^+$ , there exists a positive word  $W \in (A^+)^*$  such that  $\nabla \doteq W a_j$ .

From Lemma 2.5, we immediately obtain

**Lemma 2.6 (Right-reversible)** *If  $X$  and  $Y$  are positive words, then there exist positive words  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $UX \doteq VY$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X \equiv r_1 \cdots r_m$  and  $Y \equiv s_1 \cdots s_n$  be any positive words, where  $r_i$  and  $s_i$  are elements of  $A^+$ . Then, by Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\nabla^n X \doteq \nabla^{n-1} W_1 s_n \doteq \nabla^{n-2} W_2 s_{n-1} s_n \doteq \cdots \doteq W_n Y,$$

where  $W_1, \dots, W_n$  are some positive words. The result follows by choosing  $U \equiv \nabla^n$  and  $V \equiv W_n$ .  $\square$

With the above preparation, we obtain the following:

**Proposition 2.7 (F-S 2)** *The homomorphism  $P_{I_2(k)}^+ \rightarrow P_{I_2(k)}$  is injective.*



*Proof.* By Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 2.6, the pure Artin monoid  $P_{I_2(k)}^+$  is cancellative and right-reversible. Hence, by Öre's theorem [O],  $P_{I_2(k)}^+$  can be embedded in a group, say  $Q$ . Let  $Q'$  be the subgroup of  $Q$  generated by  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ . Then  $Q'$  embeds  $P_{I_2(k)}^+$ .

Now, suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are any positive words such that  $X = Y$  in the group  $P_{I_2(k)}$ . Then, because the relations of  $Q'$  include those defining  $P_{I_2(k)}$ , we have  $X = Y$  in the group  $Q'$  also. This implies that  $X \doteq Y$ , since  $Q'$  embeds  $P_{I_2(k)}^+$ .  $\square$

In this paper, we consider  $P_{I_2(k)}^+$  to be a subset of  $P_{I_2(k)}$ , identifying the null word,  $\varepsilon$ , with the identity of  $P_{I_2(k)}$ . Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (A^+)^* & \subset & A^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ P_{I_2(k)}^+ & \subset & P_{I_2(k)}. \end{array}$$

Recall that for  $u, v \in A^*$ , the expression  $u = v$  means that  $\pi(u) = \pi(v)$ . Thus, Proposition 2.6 implies that for  $u, v \in (A^+)^*$ , we have  $u = v \Leftrightarrow u \doteq v$ , and for  $u, v \in (A^-)^*$ , we have  $u = v \Leftrightarrow u^{-1} \doteq v^{-1}$ . Note also that  $\nabla$  satisfies

$$\nabla \equiv a_1 \cdots a_k = a_2 \cdots a_k a_1 = a_3 \cdots a_k a_1 a_2 = \cdots = a_k a_1 \cdots a_{k-1}. \quad (4)$$

In order to elucidate the combinatorial structure of  $P_{I_2(k)}$ , let us introduce the concepts of fundamental blocks. A *fundamental block* is a positive word with length smaller than  $k$  that appears as a subword in representatives of  $\pi(\nabla)$  within  $(A^+)^*$  (see the equality (4)). There are  $k(k-1)$  fundamental blocks. We list them below:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{length } k-1 & : a_1 \cdots a_{k-1}, a_2 \cdots a_k, a_3 \cdots a_k a_1, \dots, a_k a_1 \cdots a_{k-2} \\ \text{length } k-2 & : a_1 \cdots a_{k-2}, a_2 \cdots a_{k-1}, a_3 \cdots a_k, a_4 \cdots a_k a_1, \dots, a_k a_1 \cdots a_{k-3} \\ & \dots \\ \text{length } 2 & : a_1 a_2, a_2 a_3, \dots, a_{k-1} a_k, a_k a_1 \\ \text{length } 1 & : a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \end{array}$$

Next, we give several definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FB}^+ &:= \{ \mu \in (A^+)^* \mid \mu \text{ is a fundamental block} \}, \\ \text{FB}^- &:= \{ \mu^{-1} \in (A^-)^* \mid \mu \in \text{FB}^+ \}; \end{aligned}$$

for  $I \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FB}_I^\pm &:= \{ \mu \in \text{FB}^\pm \mid |\mu| = I \}, \\ \text{FB}_{\leq I}^\pm &:= \{ \mu \in \text{FB}^\pm \mid |\mu| \leq I \}; \end{aligned}$$

for  $\mu \equiv a_i \cdots a_k a_1 \cdots a_j \in \text{FB}^+$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}(\mu) := a_i, \quad \mathcal{R}(\mu) := a_j;$$

for  $\mu^{-1} \equiv a_j^{-1} \cdots a_1^{-1} a_k^{-1} \cdots a_i^{-1} \in \text{FB}^-$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}(\mu^{-1}) := a_j, \quad \mathcal{R}(\mu^{-1}) := a_i.$$

For  $\mu \equiv a_i \cdots a_k a_1 \cdots a_j \in \text{FB}^+$ , we call  $a_{j+1}$  the *letter subsequent to  $\mu$* . When  $\mu \equiv a_i \cdots a_k$ , we call  $a_1$  the letter subsequent to  $\mu$ . The letter subsequent to  $\mu$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{N}(\mu)$ . For  $\mu^{-1} \equiv a_j^{-1} \cdots a_1^{-1} a_k^{-1} \cdots a_i^{-1} \in \text{FB}^-$ , we call  $a_{i-1}$  the letter subsequent to  $\mu^{-1}$ , which is denoted by  $\mathcal{N}(\mu^{-1})$ . When  $a_i^{-1} \equiv a_1^{-1}$ , we call  $a_k$  the letter subsequent to  $\mu^{-1}$ .

Let  $\Lambda$  be a subset of  $\text{FB}^+ \cup \text{FB}^- \cup \{\nabla, \nabla^{-1}\}$ . Then, let  $\Lambda^*$  be the free monoid generated by  $\Lambda$ . In this paper, we frequently express an element of  $A^*$  as an element of  $\Lambda^*$ . In § 3, we choose a unique geodesic representative for  $g \in P_{I_2(k)}$  that can be expressed as an element of  $\Lambda^*$ . Then, in § 4, we construct automata over  $\Lambda$  that recognize such geodesic representatives.

It is easy to show that the following lemma holds.

### Lemma 2.8 (F-S 2)

1. The element  $\pi(\nabla)$  is a generator of the center of the group  $P_{I_2(k)}$ . In particular, we have

$$a\nabla^{\pm 1} = \nabla^{\pm 1}a \text{ for } a \in A = A^+ \cup A^-.$$

2. For each  $\mu \in \text{FB}^\pm$ , there exists a unique element  $\bar{\mu} \in \text{FB}^\mp$  such that  $\mu\nabla^{\mp 1} = \bar{\mu}$ . The element  $\bar{\mu}$  satisfies  $\mathcal{R}(\bar{\mu}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu)$ ,  $\mathcal{N}(\bar{\mu}) = \mathcal{R}(\mu)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\bar{\mu}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(\mu))$ , and the length of  $\bar{\mu}$  satisfies the equality  $|\bar{\mu}| = k - |\mu|$ .
3. For each  $\xi \in (A^+)^*$  that contains no positive word  $u$  satisfying  $u = \nabla$ , there exists a unique element  $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_m \in (\text{FB}^+)^*$  such that  $\xi \equiv \mu_1 \cdots \mu_m$ , where  $\mathcal{N}(\mu_j) \neq \mathcal{L}(\mu_{j+1})$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m-1$ .

Let  $g$  be an element of  $P_{I_2(k)}$ . Consider an arbitrary representative  $w$  of  $g$  and suppose that it contains  $n_+$  instances of a positive word  $u$  satisfying  $u = \nabla$  and  $n_-$  instances of a negative word  $v$  satisfying  $v = \nabla^{-1}$ . Then, by Lemma 2.8.1, we can obtain a distinct representative  $w'$  of  $g$  by moving each of these instances (in arbitrary order) to the rightmost position of  $w$ . Write the word  $w'$  as  $w' \equiv w_1 \cdots w_n \cdot \nabla^c$ , where  $c := n_+ - n_-$ , and  $w_j \in A$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . If there exists  $j$  such that  $w_{j+1} = w_j^{-1}$ , we reduce  $w_j \cdot w_{j+1}$  to the null word,  $\varepsilon$ . Repeating this reduction procedure, we obtain a reduced word  $w''$  satisfying  $w'' = w_1 \cdots w_n$ . This yields the representative  $\xi$  given by  $\xi := w'' \cdot \nabla^c$ . We present  $\xi$  as

$$\xi \equiv x^{(1)} \cdot y^{(1)} \cdot x^{(2)} \cdot y^{(2)} \cdots x^{(l)} \cdot y^{(l)} \cdot \nabla^c, \quad (5)$$

where for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ , we have

$$x^{(i)} \in (A^+)^*, \quad y^{(i)} \in (A^-)^*. \quad (6)$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 2.8.3, for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ , the words  $x^{(i)}$  and  $y^{(i)}$  are uniquely expressed as elements of  $(\text{FB}^+)^*$  and  $(\text{FB}^-)^*$ , respectively, as

$$x^{(i)} \equiv x_1^{(i)} \cdots x_{k_i}^{(i)} \in (\text{FB}^+)^* \quad \text{and} \quad y^{(i)} \equiv y_1^{(i)} \cdots y_{K_i}^{(i)} \in (\text{FB}^-)^*, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{N}(x_j^{(i)}) \neq \mathcal{L}(x_{j+1}^{(i)}) \text{ for } j \in \{1, \dots, k_i - 1\}, \\ \mathcal{N}(y_j^{(i)}) \neq \mathcal{L}(y_{j+1}^{(i)}) \text{ for } j \in \{1, \dots, K_i - 1\}, \\ \mathcal{R}(x_{k_i}^{(i)}) \neq \mathcal{L}(y_1^{(i)}), \\ \mathcal{R}(y_{K_i}^{(i)}) \neq \mathcal{L}(x_1^{(i+1)}). \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

We note that the subword  $x^{(1)} \cdot y^{(1)} \cdots x^{(l)} \cdot y^{(l)}$  is a reduced word. From  $\xi$ , we obtain a particular representative of  $g$ , as done in [G].

**Proposition 2.9 (Normal form)** *For each  $g \in P_{I_2(k)}$ , there exist unique  $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_m \in (\text{FB}^+)^*$  and  $d \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $\nu := \mu_1 \cdots \mu_m \cdot \nabla^d$  is a representative of  $g$ , where  $\mathcal{N}(\mu_j) \neq \mathcal{L}(\mu_{j+1})$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m - 1$ . We call  $\nu \equiv \mu_1 \cdots \mu_m \cdot \nabla^d$  the normal form of  $g$  and  $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_m$  the non- $\nabla$  part of the normal form.*

*Proof.* Consider a representative  $\xi$  of  $g$  taking the form appearing in (5). Then, by Lemma 2.8.2, for each  $y_j^{(i)}$  in (7) there exists a fundamental block  $\bar{y}_j^{(i)} \in \text{FB}^+$  such that  $y_j^{(i)} \nabla = \bar{y}_j^{(i)}$ . Therefore, we have  $y_j^{(i)} = \bar{y}_j^{(i)} \nabla^{-1}$ . From  $\xi$ , we thus obtain another representative of  $g$  as

$$(x_1^{(1)} \cdots x_{k_1}^{(1)}) \cdot (\bar{y}_1^{(1)} \nabla^{-1} \cdots \bar{y}_{K_1}^{(1)} \nabla^{-1}) \cdots (x_1^{(l)} \cdots x_{k_l}^{(l)}) \cdot (\bar{y}_1^{(l)} \nabla^{-1} \cdots \bar{y}_{K_l}^{(l)} \nabla^{-1}) \cdot \nabla^c.$$

Then, moving every  $\nabla^{-1}$  to the right, we obtain the following representative:

$$(x_1^{(1)} \cdots x_{k_1}^{(1)}) \cdot (\bar{y}_1^{(1)} \cdots \bar{y}_{K_1}^{(1)}) \cdots (x_1^{(l)} \cdots x_{k_l}^{(l)}) \cdot (\bar{y}_1^{(l)} \cdots \bar{y}_{K_l}^{(l)}) \cdot \nabla^{(c - K_1 - \dots - K_l)}. \quad (9)$$

Using (8) and Lemma 2.8.2, we confirm that  $\mathcal{N}(x_j^{(i)}) \neq \mathcal{L}(x_{j+1}^{(i)})$ ,  $\mathcal{N}(\bar{y}_j^{(i)}) \neq \mathcal{L}(\bar{y}_{j+1}^{(i)})$ ,  $\mathcal{N}(x_{k_i}^{(i)}) \neq \mathcal{L}(\bar{y}_1^{(i)})$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\bar{y}_{K_i}^{(i)}) \neq \mathcal{L}(x_1^{(i+1)})$ . Therefore, (9) is the desired representative of  $g$  with  $m = \sum_{i=1}^l (k_i + K_i)$  and  $d = c - \sum_{i=1}^l K_i$ .

Finally, we demonstrate the uniqueness of the normal form. Assume that  $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_m \nabla^d = \nu_1 \cdots \nu_{m'} \nabla^{d'}$  in  $P_{I_2(k)}$ . Then, suppose that  $d' < d$  and write  $n := d - d' (> 0)$ . We therefore have  $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_m \nabla^n = \nu_1 \cdots \nu_{m'}$  in  $P_{I_2(k)}$ . Thus, by Proposition 2.7, we obtain  $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_m \nabla^n \doteq \nu_1 \cdots \nu_{m'}$ . Hence,  $\nu_1 \cdots \nu_{m'}$  contains a positive word  $u$  satisfying  $u \doteq \nabla$ . This is impossible. We thus conclude that  $d' \geq d$ , and similarly  $d \geq d'$ . Hence, we have  $d = d'$ , and this implies, by Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 2.7, that  $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_m \doteq \nu_1 \cdots \nu_{m'}$ . Consequently, because the word on each side of this equality has only one representative in  $(A^+)^*$ , we have  $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_m \equiv \nu_1 \cdots \nu_{m'}$ . Finally, from Lemma 2.8.3, we have  $m = m'$  and  $\mu_j \equiv \nu_j$  for each  $j$ .  $\square$

### 3 Geodesic representatives of elements of $P_{I_2(k)}$

In this section, we determine all of the geodesic representatives for each element  $g$  of  $P_{I_2(k)}$ . Moreover, we show that even in the case that multiple geodesic representatives exist for a given element  $g$ , there is a criterion we can use in order to uniquely specify a single geodesic representative for  $g$ .

First, we note the following:

**Lemma 3.1** 1. All positive and negative words are geodesic with respect to  $A$ .

2. Let  $\xi$  be a positive word (resp. a negative word). Then, there exists no word  $\xi' \notin (A^+)^*$  (resp.  $\notin (A^-)^*$ ) such that  $\xi' = \xi$  and  $|\xi'| = |\xi|$ .

*Proof.* 1. First, note that the null word,  $\varepsilon$ , is geodesic. Next, suppose that there exists a positive word  $w$  ( $\neq \varepsilon$ ) that is not geodesic. Then there exists an element  $w' \in A^*$  such that  $w = w'$  in  $P_{I_2(k)}$  and  $|w| > |w'|$ . Because all of the words in the relations defining  $P_{I_2(k)}^+$  have the same length,  $w'$  contains at least one negative letter. Then, let  $\{s_1^{-1}, \dots, s_n^{-1}\}$  be the set of all such negative letters. Now, consider the product  $\nabla^n \cdot w'$ . By Lemma 2.8.1, we move one of  $\nabla$  to a neighbor of  $s_1^{-1}$ . Then, using the relation (4), we can cancel the letters  $s_1$  (in  $\nabla$ ) and  $s_1^{-1}$ . Performing this procedure  $n$  times, we obtain that  $\nabla^n \cdot w'$  is equal to some positive word  $w''$  in  $P_{I_2(k)}$ . Here, note that  $|\nabla^n \cdot w'| > |w''|$ . It follows that  $\nabla^n \cdot w = w''$  in  $P_{I_2(k)}$  and  $|\nabla^n \cdot w| > |w''|$ . Thus, by Proposition 2.7, we have  $\nabla^n \cdot w \doteq w''$ . Then, since all of the words in the relations defining  $P_{I_2(k)}^+$  have the same length, we have  $|\nabla^n \cdot w| = |w''|$ . Hence, we obtain a contradiction. It can be proved in a similar manner that any negative word is geodesic.

2. Suppose that there exists an element  $\xi' \notin (A^+)^*$  such that  $\xi' \in \pi^{-1}(\xi)$  and  $|\xi'| = |\xi|$ . Then,  $\xi'$  contains at least one negative letter. Let  $\{s_1^{-1}, \dots, s_n^{-1}\}$  be the set of all such negative letters. By a similar argument to that given in the part 1, we obtain that  $\nabla^n \cdot \xi'$  is equal to some positive word  $\xi''$  in  $P_{I_2(k)}$ . Here, note that  $|\nabla^n \cdot \xi'| > |\xi''|$ . It follows that  $\nabla^n \cdot \xi = \xi''$  and  $|\nabla^n \cdot \xi| > |\xi''|$ . Thus, by Proposition 2.7, we have  $\nabla^n \cdot \xi \doteq \xi''$ , and this implies that  $|\nabla^n \cdot \xi| = |\xi''|$ . Hence, we obtain a contradiction.  $\square$

Next, we present a necessary condition for a word of  $A^*$  to be geodesic.

**Lemma 3.2** Let  $\gamma$  be a geodesic word with respect to  $A$ . Then, the following hold.

1. If  $\gamma$  contains at least one positive word  $u$  satisfying  $u = \nabla$ , then  $\gamma \in (A^+)^*$ , and moreover, we have  $\gamma = x^{(1)} \cdot \nabla^c$ , where  $c > 0$ , and  $x^{(1)} \in (A^+)^*$  satisfies the conditions (7) and (8).
2. If  $\gamma$  contains at least one negative word  $v$  satisfying  $v = \nabla^{-1}$ , then  $\gamma \in (A^-)^*$ , and moreover, we have  $\gamma = y^{(1)} \cdot \nabla^c$ , where  $c < 0$ , and  $y^{(1)} \in (A^-)^*$  satisfies the conditions (7) and (8).

3. If  $\gamma$  contains no positive word  $u$  satisfying  $u = \nabla$  and no negative word  $v$  satisfying  $v = \nabla^{-1}$ , then  $\gamma \equiv x^{(1)} \cdot y^{(1)} \cdot x^{(2)} \cdot y^{(2)} \cdots x^{(l)} \cdot y^{(l)}$ , where  $c = 0$ , and  $x^{(i)} \in (A^+)^*$  and  $y^{(i)} \in (A^-)^*$  satisfy the conditions (7) and (8).

*Proof.* **1.** Suppose that  $\gamma$  contains a negative letter  $a_i^{-1}$ . Then, by Lemma 2.8.1, we obtain a representative  $\gamma'$  by moving the word  $u (= \nabla)$  so that it is a neighbor of  $a_i^{-1}$ . Then, using the relation (4), we can cancel the letters  $a_i$  (in  $u$ ) and  $a_i^{-1}$ . Hence, we obtain another representative  $\gamma''$  which satisfies  $|\gamma''| < |\gamma'| (= |\gamma|)$ . However, because  $\gamma$  is geodesic, this is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\gamma$  is a positive word. Finally, from Lemma 2.8.3, the second assertion follows immediately.

**2.** The assertion in this case can be demonstrated similarly.

**3.** Since any geodesic is a reduced word, by Lemma 2.8.3,  $\gamma$  can be decomposed as in (5), with the conditions (6)–(8) and  $c = 0$ .  $\square$

Now, we consider the following three types of words.

**Type 1.** A positive word  $\xi$  that satisfies

$$\xi = x^{(1)} \cdot \nabla^c, \quad (10)$$

where  $c > 0$ , and  $x^{(1)} \in (A^+)^*$  satisfies the conditions (7) and (8). Here, we define

$$\text{Pos}(\xi) := k, \quad \text{Neg}(\xi) := 0.$$

**Type 2.** A negative word  $\xi$  that satisfies

$$\xi = y^{(1)} \cdot \nabla^c, \quad (11)$$

where  $c < 0$ , and  $y^{(1)} \in (A^-)^*$  satisfies the conditions (7) and (8). Here, we define

$$\text{Pos}(\xi) := 0, \quad \text{Neg}(\xi) := k.$$

**Type 3.** A word  $\xi$  that is presented as

$$\xi \equiv x^{(1)} \cdot y^{(1)} \cdot x^{(2)} \cdot y^{(2)} \cdots x^{(l)} \cdot y^{(l)}, \quad (12)$$

where  $x^{(i)} \in (A^+)^*$  and  $y^{(i)} \in (A^-)^*$  satisfy the conditions (7) and (8). Here, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pos}(\xi) &:= \max\{|x_j^{(i)}| \mid 1 \leq i \leq l, 1 \leq j \leq k_i\}, \\ \text{Neg}(\xi) &:= \max\{|y_j^{(i)}| \mid 1 \leq i \leq l, 1 \leq j \leq K_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

These quantities satisfy the relations  $0 \leq \text{Pos}(\xi) \leq k - 1$  and  $0 \leq \text{Neg}(\xi) \leq k - 1$ .

Next, for  $I \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , we define

$$\text{WT}_I := \{\xi \in A^* \mid \xi \text{ is a word of Type } I\},$$

and

$$\text{WT} := \text{WT}_1 \cup \text{WT}_2 \cup \text{WT}_3.$$

Now, note that for any element  $g \in P_{I_2(k)}$ , there exists a geodesic representative of  $g$ . Thus, by Lemma 3.2, it follows that any element  $g \in P_{I_2(k)}$  has a representative  $\xi \in \text{WT}$ . Note that a word in  $\text{WT}$  is also an element of the free monoid  $(\text{FB}^+ \cup \text{FB}^- \cup \{\nabla, \nabla^{-1}\})^*$ .

As the first step in determining the geodesic representatives for each element of  $P_{I_2(k)}$ , we determine all of the geodesic representatives for an arbitrary element of  $P_{I_2(k)}$  that has a representative of Type 1 or 2.

**Proposition 3.3** *Let  $g$  be an element of  $P_{I_2(k)}$ . Suppose that  $g$  has a representative  $\xi \in \text{WT}_1$  (resp.  $\xi \in \text{WT}_2$ ); i.e.,  $\xi \in (A^+)^*$  and  $\xi = x^{(1)} \cdot \nabla^c$ , where  $c > 0$ , and  $x^{(1)} \in (A^+)^*$  satisfies the conditions (7) and (8) (resp.  $\xi \in (A^-)^*$  and  $\xi = y^{(1)} \cdot \nabla^c$ , where  $c < 0$ , and  $y^{(1)} \in (A^-)^*$  satisfies the conditions (7) and (8)). Then,  $\xi$  is geodesic, and, moreover, the set of all of the geodesic representatives of  $g$  is equal to the set*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{M}\nabla_g^+ &:= \{\gamma \in (A^+)^* \mid \gamma \doteq x^{(1)} \cdot \nabla^c\}, \\ (\text{resp. } \text{M}\nabla_g^- &:= \{\gamma \in (A^-)^* \mid \gamma^{-1} \doteq (y^{(1)} \cdot \nabla^c)^{-1}\}), \end{aligned}$$

and  $\text{M}\nabla_g^+$  (resp.  $\text{M}\nabla_g^-$ ) consists of more than one element.

*Proof.* We only consider the case of Type 1 words, because the proof for Type 2 can be carried out similarly. First, from Lemma 3.1.1, because  $\xi$  is a positive word by the assumption,  $\xi$  is geodesic. Now, let  $\nu$  be the normal form of  $g$ . Then, as in the proof of Proposition 2.9, we obtain  $\nu \equiv x^{(1)} \cdot \nabla^c \in (A^+)^*$ . Thus, by Lemma 3.1.1, it follows that  $\nu$  is geodesic. Hence, for any geodesic representative  $\gamma'$ , we have  $\gamma' = \nu$  and  $|\gamma'| = |\nu|$ . By Lemma 3.1.2, it follows that  $\gamma'$  is a positive word. Thus, by Proposition 2.7, we have  $\gamma' \doteq \nu$ . Conversely, for any  $\gamma'' \in (A^+)^*$  satisfying  $\gamma'' \doteq \nu$ , we have  $|\gamma''| = |\nu|$ . Hence,  $\gamma''$  is geodesic. Therefore,  $\text{M}\nabla_g^+$  is the set of all geodesic representatives of  $g$ . Finally, because  $\nu$  contains  $\nabla$ ,  $\text{M}\nabla_g^+$  consists of more than one element.  $\square$

Next, we present a necessary condition for Type 3 representatives of elements of  $P_{I_2(k)}$  to be geodesic.

**Proposition 3.4** *Let  $g$  be an element of  $P_{I_2(k)}$ . Suppose that  $g$  has a representative  $\xi \in \text{WT}_3$ . Then, if  $\text{Pos}(\xi) + \text{Neg}(\xi) > k$ , the word  $\xi$  is not a geodesic representative of  $g$ .*

*Proof.* Here, we use the notation  $P := \text{Pos}(\xi)$  and  $N := \text{Neg}(\xi)$ . By the assumption of the proposition, there are words  $x_{j_1}^{(i_1)}$  and  $y_{j_2}^{(i_2)}$  in  $\xi$  such that

$$|x_{j_1}^{(i_1)}| + |y_{j_2}^{(i_2)}| > k. \quad (13)$$

First, suppose that  $i_1 \leq i_2$  and consider the subword of  $\xi$  given by

$$\xi' := x_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \cdot v \cdot y_{j_2}^{(i_2)}.$$

Then, by Lemma 2.8.2, there exist  $\bar{x}_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \in \text{FB}^-$  and  $\bar{y}_{j_2}^{(i_2)} \in \text{FB}^+$  such that  $\bar{x}_{j_1}^{(i_1)} = x_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \nabla^{-1}$ ,  $\bar{y}_{j_2}^{(i_2)} = y_{j_2}^{(i_2)} \nabla$ ,  $|x_{j_1}^{(i_1)}| + |\bar{x}_{j_1}^{(i_1)}| = k$  and  $|y_{j_2}^{(i_2)}| + |\bar{y}_{j_2}^{(i_2)}| = k$ . Consequently, by Lemma 2.8.1, we have

$$\xi' = x_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \cdot \nabla^{-1} \nabla \cdot v \cdot y_{j_2}^{(i_2)} = x_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \nabla^{-1} \cdot v \cdot y_{j_2}^{(i_2)} \nabla = \bar{x}_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \cdot v \cdot \bar{y}_{j_2}^{(i_2)}. \quad (14)$$

Further, from the inequality (13), we have  $|\bar{x}_{j_1}^{(i_1)}| + |\bar{y}_{j_2}^{(i_2)}| < k$ . Thus, from (14), we find that  $\xi'$  is not geodesic. Hence,  $\xi$  is not geodesic either.

The case  $i_1 > i_2$  can be treated similarly, with the conclusion again that  $\xi$  is not geodesic.

□

Next, we introduce a procedure that yields geodesic representatives. This procedure is similar to that employed in [Be] and [M-M], which is called the *suitable-spread* procedure. In [M-M], there is presented an effective algorithm to determine whether or not words of the Artin group  $G_{I_2(k)}$  of dihedral type are geodesic. The suitable-spread procedure plays an important role in that algorithm. Following [M-M], we now explain the procedure in the case of the pure Artin groups  $P_{I_2(k)}$  of dihedral type.

We consider the normal form of an element  $g \in P_{I_2(k)}$ , as in Proposition 2.9:

$$\nu \equiv \mu_1 \cdots \mu_m \cdot \nabla^d. \quad (15)$$

The word  $\nu$  is the input of this procedure.

[**Case 1** :  $d \geq 0$ ] Here, by Lemma 3.1.1, the above normal form  $\nu$  is itself geodesic. Thus, in this case, we regard  $\nu$  as the output of this procedure.

[**Case 2** :  $d < 0$ ,  $m \leq -d$ ] First, let us rewrite (15) using  $\delta := -d > 0$ :

$$\nu \equiv \mu_1 \cdots \mu_m \cdot (\nabla^{-1})^\delta.$$

Then, moving one of the words  $\nabla^{-1}$  so that it is the right-hand neighbor of  $\mu_j$ , we obtain another representative of  $g$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_1 \cdots \mu_{j-1} \cdot (\mu_j \nabla^{-1}) \cdot \mu_{j+1} \cdots \mu_m \cdot (\nabla^{-1})^{\delta-1} \\ &= \mu_1 \cdots \mu_{j-1} \cdot \bar{\mu}_j \cdot \mu_{j+1} \cdots \mu_m \cdot (\nabla^{-1})^{\delta-1} =: \nu', \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{\mu}_j \in \text{FB}^-$  is the element given in Proposition 2.8.2. Note the following equality:

$$|\nu'| = |\nu| - 2|\mu_j|. \quad (16)$$

Since  $m \leq \delta$ , by carrying out the above procedure  $m$  times, we obtain the following representative of  $g$  that consists entirely of negative letters:

$$\bar{\mu}_1 \cdots \bar{\mu}_m \cdot (\nabla^{-1})^{\delta-m}.$$

From Lemma 3.1.1, we know that this word is geodesic. In this case, this word is the output of the procedure.

[**Case 3** :  $d < 0$ ,  $m > -d$  ( $= \delta$ )] First, pick  $\delta$  fundamental blocks  $\mu_{j_1}, \dots, \mu_{j_\delta}$  from among  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m$  such that  $|\mu_{j_1}| + \dots + |\mu_{j_\delta}|$  realizes the maximal value. Then, applying the above procedure to  $\mu_{j_1}, \dots, \mu_{j_\delta}$ , we obtain the following representative that contains no  $\nabla^{-1}$ :

$$\tilde{\nu} := \mu_1 \cdots \mu_{j_1-1} \cdot \bar{\mu}_{j_1} \cdot \mu_{j_1+1} \cdots \mu_{j_n-1} \cdot \bar{\mu}_{j_n} \cdot \mu_{j_n+1} \cdots \mu_{j_\delta-1} \cdot \bar{\mu}_{j_\delta} \cdot \mu_{j_\delta+1} \cdots \mu_m.$$

Here, note that

$$\mathcal{R}(\mu_{j_n-1}) \neq \mathcal{L}(\bar{\mu}_{j_n}), \quad \mathcal{R}(\bar{\mu}_{j_n}) \neq \mathcal{L}(\mu_{j_n+1}),$$

and if there exist  $j_n$  and  $j_{n+1}$  such that  $j_{n+1} = j_n + 1$ , then we have

$$\mathcal{N}(\bar{\mu}_{j_n}) \neq \mathcal{L}(\bar{\mu}_{j_{n+1}}).$$

The word  $\tilde{\nu}$  is the output of the procedure. In the present case, from (16), we obtain

$$|\tilde{\nu}| = |\nu| - \sum_{n=1}^{\delta} 2|\mu_{j_n}|. \quad (17)$$

Note that the choice of  $\mu_{j_1}, \dots, \mu_{j_\delta}$  maximizing  $|\mu_{j_1}| + \dots + |\mu_{j_\delta}|$  is not necessarily unique, and the output  $\tilde{\nu}$  depends on this choice. However,  $\text{Pos}(\tilde{\nu})$  and  $\text{Neg}(\tilde{\nu})$  do not depend on this choice. We define the set of all such choices as follows:

$$\text{CFB}_g := \left\{ (j_1, \dots, j_\delta) \left| \begin{array}{l} \nu \equiv \mu_1 \cdots \mu_m \cdot \nabla^{-\delta} : \text{normal form of } g, (m > \delta > 0), \\ 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_\delta \leq m, \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\delta} |j_n| \text{ realizes the maximal value} \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Let us call the above procedure the *suitable-spread* procedure, following Mairesse and Mathéus. Note that the output of this procedure is also a representative of  $g$ . We denote by  $\text{SS}_g$  the set consisting of all of the outputs of the suitable-spread procedure applied to the normal form of  $g$ ,  $\nu$ . In Cases 1 and 2, we have  $\#\text{SS}_g = 1$ . In Case 3,  $\text{SS}_g$  is bijective with  $\text{CFB}_g$ . Now, it is important to note that in Case 3, any element of  $\text{SS}_g$  is also an element of the free monoid  $(\text{FB}_{\leq \text{Pos}(\tilde{\nu})}^+ \cup \text{FB}_{\leq \text{Neg}(\tilde{\nu})}^-)^*$ . Then, the following provides the final piece for this procedure.

**Proposition 3.5** *Let  $g$  be an element of  $P_{I_2(k)}$  and  $\nu \equiv \mu_1 \cdots \mu_m \cdot \nabla^d$  be the normal form of  $g$ , as given in Proposition 2.9. Then, applying the suitable-spread procedure to  $\nu$ , we obtain a geodesic representative of  $g$ .*

*Proof.* In the case that  $d \geq 0$  and the case that  $d < 0, m \leq -d$ , it is already known that the output of the suitable-spread procedure is geodesic. Hence, it remains only to consider the case in which  $d < 0$  and  $m > -d$ . We write  $\delta := -d$  ( $> 0$ ). First, note that there exists



at least one geodesic representative of  $g$ . Choose one of them, say  $\gamma$ . Because  $\gamma$  is geodesic, by Lemma 3.2, one of the following holds: (i)  $\gamma$  is of Type 1 (i.e., there exists a geodesic representative  $\hat{\gamma}$  of  $g = \pi(\gamma)$  written as  $\hat{\gamma} \equiv x_1^{(1)} \cdots x_{k_1}^{(1)} \cdot \nabla^d$  such that  $\gamma = \hat{\gamma}$ , where the  $x_j^{(1)}$  satisfy the conditions (7) and (8), and  $d > 0$ ); (ii)  $\gamma$  is of Type 2 (i.e., there exists a geodesic representative  $\hat{\gamma}$  of  $g = \pi(\gamma)$  written as  $\hat{\gamma} \equiv y_1^{(1)} \cdots y_{K_1}^{(1)} \cdot \nabla^d$  such that  $\gamma = \hat{\gamma}$ , where the  $y_j^{(1)}$  satisfy the conditions (7) and (8), and  $d < 0$ ); (iii)  $\gamma$  is of Type 3 (i.e.,  $\gamma$  takes the form appearing in (12), with the conditions (7) and (8)). First, consider the case (i). In this case, the normal form  $\nu$  of  $g = \pi(\gamma) = \pi(\hat{\gamma})$  is identical to  $\hat{\gamma}$ , i.e.,  $\nu \equiv \hat{\gamma}$ . This normal form does not satisfy the condition  $d < 0$ . Thus, we need not consider the case (i). Next, consider the case (ii). In this case, as in the proof of Proposition 2.9, we obtain the normal form of  $g = \pi(\gamma) = \pi(\hat{\gamma})$  as  $\bar{y}_1^{(1)} \cdots \bar{y}_{K_1}^{(1)} \cdot \nabla^{d-K_1}$ . From the uniqueness of this normal form, we have  $m = K_1$  and  $\delta = -d + K_1$ . However, because  $d < 0$ , this normal form does not satisfy the condition  $m > \delta$ . Thus, we need not consider the case (ii) either. Hence, we need only examine the case (iii). If  $K_i = 0$  for all  $i$ , then  $\gamma \equiv x^{(1)} \in (A^+)^*$ . This is the normal form of  $\pi(\gamma) = g$  with  $d = 0$ . However, this does not satisfy the condition  $d < 0$ , and thus, we need not consider this case. Next, if  $k_i = 0$  for all  $i$ , then  $\gamma \equiv y^{(1)} \in (A^-)^*$ . Then, as in the proof of Proposition 2.9, we obtain the normal form of  $g = \pi(\gamma)$  as  $\bar{y}_1^{(1)} \cdots \bar{y}_{K_1}^{(1)} \cdot \nabla^{-K_1}$  with  $m = K_1$  and  $d = -K_1$ . However, since  $m = -d$ , this normal form does not satisfy the condition  $m > -d$ , and thus, we need not consider this case either. Hence, we assume that there exist  $k_i$  and  $K_j$  such that  $k_i \neq 0$  and  $K_j \neq 0$ . Now, following the proof of Proposition 2.9, we obtain

$$\nu \equiv (x_1^{(1)} \cdots x_{k_1}^{(1)}) \cdot (\bar{y}_1^{(1)} \cdots \bar{y}_{K_1}^{(1)}) \cdots (x_1^{(l)} \cdots x_{k_l}^{(l)}) \cdot (\bar{y}_1^{(l)} \cdots \bar{y}_{K_l}^{(l)}) \cdot \nabla^{(-K_1 - \dots - K_l)}$$

as the normal form of  $g = \pi(\gamma)$ , with  $m = \sum_{i=1}^l (k_i + K_i)$  and  $\delta = \sum_{i=1}^l K_i$ . Then, we obtain the geodesic  $\gamma$  from the normal form  $\nu$  by applying the procedure  $(\bar{y}_j^{(i)} \Rightarrow \bar{y}_j^{(i)} \cdot \nabla^{-1} = y_j^{(i)})$  to  $\bar{y}_j^{(i)}$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$  and  $j \in \{1, \dots, K_i\}$  as the suitable-spread procedure. It is clear that  $\sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^{K_i} |\bar{y}_j^{(i)}|$  is less than or equal to the maximal length of any  $\delta$  fundamental blocks in the non- $\nabla$  part of  $\nu$ . (In fact, it is equal.) Therefore, from (17), the suitable-spread procedure induces a word of length equal to  $|\gamma|$ . Hence, this word is geodesic. (Note that from the argument above, it follows that  $\gamma$  is also obtained from the suitable-spread procedure applied to  $\nu$ .)  $\square$

From the argument given in the proof of Proposition 3.5, we obtain the following:

**Proposition 3.6** *Let  $g$  be an element of  $P_{I_2(k)}$  and  $\nu$  be the normal form of  $g$ . Suppose that  $g$  has a geodesic representative  $\gamma \in \text{WT}_3$ . Then,  $\gamma$  is obtained from the suitable-spread procedure applied to  $\nu$ .*

Now, we give a sufficient condition for Type 3 representatives of elements of  $P_{2(k)}$  to be geodesic.

**Proposition 3.7** *Let  $g$  be an element of  $P_{I_2(k)}$  and  $\nu$  be the normal form of  $g$ . Suppose that  $g$  has a representative  $\xi \in \text{WT}_3$ . Then the following hold.*

1. *If  $\text{Pos}(\xi) + \text{Neg}(\xi) \leq k$ , then  $\xi$  is geodesic, and moreover, the set of all of the geodesic representatives of  $g$  is identical with  $\text{SS}_g$ .*
2. *If  $\text{Pos}(\xi) + \text{Neg}(\xi) = k$ , then  $\#\text{SS}_g \geq 2$ .*
3. *If  $\text{Pos}(\xi) + \text{Neg}(\xi) < k$ , then  $\#\text{SS}_g = 1$ .*

*Proof.* In the following, we use the notation  $P := \text{Pos}(\xi)$  and  $N := \text{Neg}(\xi)$ . By the assumption, we have  $0 \leq P \leq k - 1$  and  $0 \leq N \leq k - 1$ .

As in the proof of Proposition 2.9, we obtain the normal form of  $g = \pi(\xi)$  as

$$\nu \equiv (x_1^{(1)} \cdots x_{k_1}^{(1)}) \cdot (\bar{y}_1^{(1)} \cdots \bar{y}_{K_1}^{(1)}) \cdots (x_1^{(l)} \cdots x_{k_l}^{(l)}) \cdot (\bar{y}_1^{(l)} \cdots \bar{y}_{K_l}^{(l)}) \cdot \nabla^{(-K_1 - \cdots - K_l)}. \quad (18)$$

By the definition of  $N$ , we have

$$|y_j^{(i)}| \leq N, \quad (1 \leq i \leq l, 1 \leq j \leq K_i). \quad (19)$$

Thus, with the assumption  $P + N \leq k$ , we obtain

$$|\bar{y}_j^{(i)}| = k - |y_j^{(i)}| \geq k - N \geq P, \quad (1 \leq i \leq l, 1 \leq j \leq K_i). \quad (20)$$

Further, by the definition of  $P$ , we have

$$|x_j^{(i)}| \leq P, \quad (1 \leq i \leq l, 1 \leq j \leq k_i). \quad (21)$$

First, consider the case  $N = 0$  (resp.  $P = 0$ ), in which we have  $l = 1$  and  $y^{(1)} \equiv \varepsilon$  (resp.  $l = 1$  and  $x^{(1)} \equiv \varepsilon$ ); i.e.,  $\xi \equiv x^{(1)}$  (resp.  $\xi \equiv y^{(1)}$ ). Then, by Lemma 3.1.1,  $\xi$  is geodesic. Moreover, by Lemma 3.1.2, any geodesic representative of  $g$  is a positive word (resp. a negative word). Therefore,  $\xi$  is the unique geodesic representative of  $g$ , since  $\xi$  has only one representative in  $(A^+)^*$  (resp.  $(A^-)^*$ ). Next, from (18), we have  $\nu \equiv x^{(1)}$  (resp.  $\nu \equiv \bar{y}_1^{(1)} \cdots \bar{y}_{K_1}^{(1)} \cdot \nabla^{-K_1}$ ). This implies that  $\text{SS}_g = \{\xi\}$  and  $\#\text{SS}_g = 1$ .

Next, consider the case in which  $P \geq 1$  and  $N \geq 1$ . In this case, we have  $\xi \notin (A^+)^* \cup (A^-)^*$ . Now, let us apply the suitable-spread procedure to the normal form  $\nu$ . As seen from (20) and (21), we can choose all  $\bar{y}_j^{(i)}$  in this application. Proceeding with such a choice, we obtain identically  $\xi$ . Thus, by Proposition 3.5,  $\xi$  is geodesic. Next, note that there exists no geodesic representative of Type 1 or Type 2. (Suppose that there exists a geodesic representative  $\xi_1 \in \text{WT}_1$ . This word  $\xi_1$  must be positive. Then, by Lemma 3.1.2, there exists no word  $\xi'_1 \notin (A^+)^*$  satisfying both  $\xi'_1 = \xi_1$  and  $|\xi'_1| = |\xi_1|$ . This is a contradiction, because  $|\xi| = |\xi_1|$  and  $\xi \notin (A^+)^* \cup (A^-)^*$ . The non-existence of geodesic representatives of Type 2 can be shown similarly.) Also recall that Proposition 3.6 asserts that all of the geodesic representatives of Type 3 can be obtained from applying the suitable-spread procedure to  $\nu$ . We thus conclude that any geodesic representative of  $g$  is an element of  $\text{SS}_g$ . Conversely, by Proposition 3.5, any element of  $\text{SS}_g$  is a geodesic representative of  $g$ . Therefore, the set consisting of all of the geodesic representatives of  $g$  is identical to  $\text{SS}_g$ .

Now, we consider the subcase in which  $P, N \geq 1$  and  $P + N < k$ . Here, we have

$$|\bar{y}_j^{(i)}| = k - |y_j^{(i)}| \geq k - N > P, \quad (1 \leq i \leq l, 1 \leq j \leq K_i), \quad (22)$$

instead of (20). Hence, according to (21) and (22), we must choose all  $\bar{y}_j^{(i)}$  in the suitable-spread procedure; i.e., we cannot choose any  $x_j^{(i)}$ . Therefore, in this case,  $\xi$  is the unique geodesic representative obtained from the suitable-spread procedure. Hence, we have  $\text{SS}_g = \{\xi\}$  and  $\#\text{SS}_g = 1$ .

Finally, we consider the subcase in which  $P, N \geq 1$  and  $P + N = k$ . Here, from (20) and (21) it follows that there exists at least one fundamental block  $x_j^{(i)}$  whose length is equal to  $P$ . Hence, by choosing such fundamental blocks  $x_j^{(i)}$  in the suitable-spread procedure, we obtain another geodesic representative. Thus,  $\xi$  is not a unique geodesic representative; i.e.,  $\#\text{SS}_g \geq 2$ .  $\square$

One immediate consequence of Propositions 3.3 and 3.7 is the following:

**Corollary 3.8** *Let  $g$  and  $g'$  be elements of  $P_{I_2(k)}$ , and let  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  be geodesic representatives of  $g$  and  $g'$ , respectively. Then, if  $(\text{Pos}(\gamma), \text{Neg}(\gamma)) \neq (\text{Pos}(\gamma'), \text{Neg}(\gamma'))$ , we have  $g \neq g'$ .*

*Proof.* By Propositions 3.3 and 3.7, if  $(\text{Pos}(\gamma), \text{Neg}(\gamma)) \neq (\text{Pos}(\gamma'), \text{Neg}(\gamma'))$ , it follows that  $\nu \neq \nu'$ , where  $\nu$  and  $\nu'$  are the normal forms of  $g$  and  $g'$ , respectively. Then, because the normal form is unique for each element of  $P_{I_2(k)}$ , we obtain  $g \neq g'$ .  $\square$

From Corollary 3.8, for each element  $g \in P_{I_2(k)}$ , we can define

$$(\text{Pos}(g), \text{Neg}(g)) := (\text{Pos}(\gamma), \text{Neg}(\gamma))$$

by choosing an arbitrary geodesic representative  $\gamma$  of  $g$ . Note that, by Proposition 3.4, we have

$$\text{Pos}(g) + \text{Neg}(g) \leq k. \quad (23)$$

Now, for any  $g \in P_{I_2(k)}$ , we wish to specify a unique geodesic representative. Thus, for the case  $\text{Pos}(g) + \text{Neg}(g) = k$ , we must establish a criterion for choosing among the multiple such representatives. First, if  $(\text{Pos}(g), \text{Neg}(g)) = (k, 0)$ , we choose the normal form of  $g$  given in Proposition 2.9. Next, if  $(\text{Pos}(g), \text{Neg}(g)) = (0, k)$ , we choose the word  $y^{(1)} \cdot \nabla^c$  given in Proposition 3.3. Then, if  $(\text{Pos}(g), \text{Neg}(g)) \neq (k, 0), (0, k)$ , we choose the unique geodesic representative identified by the following:

**Proposition 3.9** *Let  $g$  be an element of  $P_{I_2(k)}$ . With  $(P, N) := (\text{Pos}(g), \text{Neg}(g))$ , suppose that  $P + N = k$  and  $(P, N) \notin \{(k, 0), (0, k)\}$ . Then, there exists a unique geodesic representative  $\eta \in \text{WT}_3$  of  $g$  satisfying the condition that all elements of  $\text{FB}_N^-$  appear before all*

elements of  $\text{FB}_P^+$ . In precise terms, this assertion is as follows:

$$\exists \lambda \in \text{FB}_N^-, \exists \mu \in \text{FB}_P^+, \text{ and } \begin{cases} \exists \xi^{(1)} \equiv \xi_1^{(1)} \cdots \xi_{m_1}^{(1)} \in (\text{FB}_{\leq P-1}^+ \cup \text{FB}_{\leq N-1}^-)^*, \\ \exists \xi^{(2)} \equiv \xi_1^{(2)} \cdots \xi_{m_2}^{(2)} \in (\text{FB}_{\leq P-1}^+ \cup \text{FB}_{\leq N}^-)^*, \\ \exists \xi^{(3)} \equiv \xi_1^{(3)} \cdots \xi_{m_3}^{(3)} \in (\text{FB}_{\leq P}^+ \cup \text{FB}_{\leq N-1}^-)^*, \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

such that

$$\eta \equiv \xi^{(1)} \cdot \lambda \cdot \xi^{(2)} \cdot \mu \cdot \xi^{(3)} \in \pi^{-1}(g) \quad (25)$$

is geodesic, where for each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} \xi_j^{(i)}, \xi_{j+1}^{(i)} \in \text{FB}^\pm \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\xi_j^{(i)}) \neq \mathcal{L}(\xi_{j+1}^{(i)}), \\ \xi_j^{(i)} \in \text{FB}^\pm, \xi_{j+1}^{(i)} \in \text{FB}^\mp \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\xi_j^{(i)}) \neq \mathcal{L}(\xi_{j+1}^{(i)}), \end{cases} \quad (j \in \{1, \dots, m_i - 1\}), \quad (26)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \xi_{m_1}^{(1)} \in \text{FB}^+ \text{ (resp. } \text{FB}^-) \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\xi_{m_1}^{(1)}) \neq \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \text{ (resp. } \mathcal{N}(\xi_{m_1}^{(1)}) \neq \mathcal{L}(\lambda)), \\ \xi_1^{(2)} \in \text{FB}^+ \text{ (resp. } \text{FB}^-) \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\lambda) \neq \mathcal{L}(\xi_1^{(2)}) \text{ (resp. } \mathcal{N}(\lambda) \neq \mathcal{L}(\xi_1^{(2)})), \\ \xi_{m_2}^{(2)} \in \text{FB}^+ \text{ (resp. } \text{FB}^-) \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\xi_{m_2}^{(2)}) \neq \mathcal{L}(\mu) \text{ (resp. } \mathcal{R}(\xi_{m_2}^{(2)}) \neq \mathcal{L}(\mu)), \\ \xi_1^{(3)} \in \text{FB}^+ \text{ (resp. } \text{FB}^-) \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mu) \neq \mathcal{L}(\xi_1^{(3)}) \text{ (resp. } \mathcal{R}(\mu) \neq \mathcal{L}(\xi_1^{(3)})). \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

*Proof.* (Refer to the proof of Proposition 3.7.) The word  $\xi$  and the normal form  $\nu$  of  $g = \pi(\xi)$  have the forms (12) and (18), respectively. Rewrite (18) as

$$\nu \equiv \mu_1 \cdots \mu_m \cdot \nabla^{-\delta},$$

where  $m := \sum_{i=1}^l (k_i + K_i)$  and  $\delta := \sum_{i=1}^l K_i$ . Then, by applying the suitable-spread procedure to  $\nu$ , we obtain a geodesic representative of  $g$ . In this procedure, we choose  $\delta$  elements from the non- $\nabla$  part of  $\nu$ . Here, employing the assumptions  $P + N = k$  and  $(P, N) \notin \{(k, 0), (0, k)\}$ , the procedure is performed in accordance with the following rules.

- (i) All the elements appearing in the non- $\nabla$  part that belong to  $\text{FB}_I^+$  ( $I \geq P + 1$ ) are chosen.
- (ii) There are at least two elements in the non- $\nabla$  part that belong to  $\text{FB}_P^+$ , say  $\mu_{j_1}, \dots, \mu_{j_n}$ , where  $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_n$ . At least one and fewer than  $n$  elements from among  $\mu_{j_1}, \dots, \mu_{j_n}$  are chosen.
- (iii) When choosing the elements belonging to  $\text{FB}_P^+$ , we always choose the leftmost such element. Let us refer to the elements chosen through this procedure as  $\mu_{j_1}, \dots, \mu_{j_r}$ , where  $1 \leq r < n$ .

In this way, we obtain a geodesic representative  $\eta$  containing the elements  $\bar{\mu}_{j_1}, \dots, \bar{\mu}_{j_r} \in \text{FB}_N^-$  and  $\mu_{j_{r+1}}, \dots, \mu_{j_n} \in \text{FB}_P^+$ . Then, choosing  $\lambda$  (resp.  $\mu$ ) to be the leftmost element,  $\bar{\mu}_{j_1}$  (resp.  $\mu_{j_{r+1}}$ ), we obtain the desired geodesic representative.  $\square$

**Example 3.10** Let  $k = 3$ . In this example, we consider an element  $g \in P_{I_2(3)}$  whose normal form  $\nu$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &\equiv a_1 a_2 \cdot a_2 \cdot a_2 a_3 \cdot a_3 \cdot a_2 \cdot a_2 a_3 \cdot a_2 \cdot \nabla^{-4} \\ &\equiv \mu_1 \cdot \mu_2 \cdot \mu_3 \cdot \mu_4 \cdot \mu_5 \cdot \mu_6 \cdot \mu_7 \cdot \nabla^{-4}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, applying the suitable-spread procedure, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nu &= (\mu_1 \nabla^{-1}) \cdot (\mu_2 \nabla^{-1}) \cdot (\mu_3 \nabla^{-1}) \cdot \mu_4 \cdot \mu_5 \cdot (\mu_6 \nabla^{-1}) \cdot \mu_7 \\
 &= a_3^{-1} \cdot (a_3 a_1)^{-1} \cdot a_1^{-1} \cdot a_3 \cdot a_2 \cdot a_1^{-1} \cdot a_2 =: \tilde{\nu}_1 \\
 &= (\mu_1 \nabla^{-1}) \cdot \mu_2 \cdot (\mu_3 \nabla^{-1}) \cdot (\mu_4 \nabla^{-1}) \cdot \mu_5 \cdot (\mu_6 \nabla^{-1}) \cdot \mu_7 \\
 &= a_3^{-1} \cdot a_2 \cdot a_1^{-1} \cdot (a_1 a_2)^{-1} \cdot a_2 \cdot a_1^{-1} \cdot a_2 =: \tilde{\nu}_2 \\
 &= (\mu_1 \nabla^{-1}) \cdot \mu_2 \cdot (\mu_3 \nabla^{-1}) \cdot \mu_4 \cdot (\mu_5 \nabla^{-1}) \cdot (\mu_6 \nabla^{-1}) \cdot \mu_7 \\
 &= a_3^{-1} \cdot a_2 \cdot a_1^{-1} \cdot a_3 \cdot (a_3 a_1)^{-1} \cdot a_1^{-1} \cdot a_2 =: \tilde{\nu}_3 \\
 &= (\mu_1 \nabla^{-1}) \cdot \mu_2 \cdot (\mu_3 \nabla^{-1}) \cdot \mu_4 \cdot \mu_5 \cdot (\mu_6 \nabla^{-1}) \cdot (\mu_7 \nabla^{-1}) \\
 &= a_3^{-1} \cdot a_2 \cdot a_1^{-1} \cdot a_3 \cdot a_2 \cdot a_1^{-1} \cdot (a_3 a_1)^{-1} =: \tilde{\nu}_4.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have  $(\text{Pos}(g), \text{Neg}(g)) = (1, 2)$  and  $\text{CFB}_g = \{(1, 2, 3, 6), (1, 3, 4, 6), (1, 3, 5, 6), (1, 3, 6, 7)\}$ . The four distinct geodesic representatives,  $\tilde{\nu}_1, \tilde{\nu}_2, \tilde{\nu}_3$  and  $\tilde{\nu}_4$ , correspond to  $(1, 2, 3, 6)$ ,  $(1, 3, 4, 6)$ ,  $(1, 3, 5, 6)$  and  $(1, 3, 6, 7)$ , respectively. The first word,  $\tilde{\nu}_1$ , is the geodesic representative chosen in Proposition 3.9:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \eta &\equiv \xi^{(1)} \cdot \lambda \cdot \xi^{(2)} \cdot \mu \cdot \xi^{(3)} \\
 &\equiv a_3^{-1} \cdot (a_3 a_1)^{-1} \cdot a_1^{-1} \cdot a_3 \cdot (a_2 \cdot a_1^{-1} \cdot a_2) \equiv \tilde{\nu}_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

## 4 Automata for geodesic representatives of $P_{I_2(k)}$

In this section, we construct deterministic, finite-state automata over subsets of  $\text{FB}^+ \cup \text{FB}^- \cup \{\nabla, \nabla^{-}\}$  that recognize a unique geodesic representative for each element of  $P_{I_2(k)}$  specified in § 3. (Refer to [K] for a general reference on automata.) As a result, it becomes clear that the spherical growth series for  $P_{I_2(k)}$  with respect to the generating set  $A$  has a rational function expression (cf. [E], [E-IF-Z], [M-M] and [Sab]).

First, we consider all of the geodesic representatives for elements of  $P_{I_2(k)}$ . Here, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\Gamma} &:= \{\xi \in A^* \mid |\xi| = \|\pi(\xi)\|\}, \\
 \tilde{\Gamma}_{P,N} &:= \{\xi \in \text{WT} \mid |\xi| = \|\pi(\xi)\|, (\text{Pos}(\xi), \text{Neg}(\xi)) = (P, N)\}, \\
 G_{P,N} &:= \{g \in P_{I_2(k)} \mid (\text{Pos}(g), \text{Neg}(g)) = (P, N)\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 \leq P \leq k$  and  $0 \leq N \leq k$ . Then, by Propositions 3.3, 3.4 and 3.7 and Corollary 3.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 P + N \geq k + 1 &\implies \tilde{\Gamma}_{P,N} = \phi, \quad G_{P,N} = \phi, \\
 P + N \leq k &\implies \pi^{-1}(G_{P,N}) \cap \tilde{\Gamma} = \tilde{\Gamma}_{P,N}
 \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\Gamma} &= \bigcup_{P+N \leq k} \tilde{\Gamma}_{P,N} \quad (\text{disjoint union}), \\
 P_{I_2(k)} &= \bigcup_{P+N \leq k} G_{P,N} \quad (\text{disjoint union}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

For each  $(P, N)$ , the results of Propositions 3.3 and 3.7 are as follows:

1. Case  $(P, N) = (k, 0) : \pi^{-1}(g) \cap \tilde{\Gamma} = M\nabla_g^+$  and  $\#M\nabla_g^+ \geq 2$  for each  $g \in G_{k,0}$ ;
2. Case  $(P, N) = (0, k) : \pi^{-1}(g) \cap \tilde{\Gamma} = M\nabla_g^-$  and  $\#M\nabla_g^- \geq 2$  for each  $g \in G_{0,k}$ ;
3. Case  $P + N \leq k$  and  $(P, N) \notin \{(k, 0), (0, k)\} : \pi^{-1}(g) \cap \tilde{\Gamma} = SS_g$  for each  $g \in G_{P,N}$ ;
4. Case  $P + N \leq k - 1 : \#SS_g = 1$  for each  $g \in G_{P,N}$ ;
5. Case  $P + N = k$  and  $(P, N) \notin \{(k, 0), (0, k)\} : \#SS_g \geq 2$  for each  $g \in G_{P,N}$ .

Next, we define a subset  $\Gamma_{P,N}$  of  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{P,N}$  such that every element  $g$  of  $G_{P,N}$  has a unique geodesic representative in  $\Gamma_{P,N}$ .

[**Case 1 :  $(P, N) = (k, 0)$** ] Here, for each  $g \in G_{k,0}$ , we choose the normal form  $\nu$  of  $g$ . Then, we define

$$\Gamma_{k,0} := \left\{ \nu \in (FB^+ \cup \{\nabla\})^* \mid \begin{array}{l} \nu \equiv \mu_1 \cdots \mu_m \cdot \nabla^d, \mu_1 \cdots \mu_m \in (FB^+)^*, \\ d \geq 1, \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(\mu_j) \neq \mathcal{L}(\mu_{j+1}) \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq m-1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

[**Case 2 :  $(P, N) = (0, k)$** ] Here, for each  $g \in G_{0,k}$ , consider the normal form  $\nu$  of  $g^{-1} \in G_{k,0}$ . Then, we define

$$\Gamma_{0,k} := \left\{ \nu^{-1} \in (FB^- \cup \{\nabla^{-1}\})^* \mid \begin{array}{l} \nu \equiv \mu_1 \cdots \mu_m \cdot \nabla^d, \mu_1 \cdots \mu_m \in (FB^+)^*, \\ d \geq 1, \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(\mu_j) \neq \mathcal{L}(\mu_{j+1}) \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq m-1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

[**Case 3 :  $P + N \leq k - 1$** ] In this case,  $SS_g$  consists of a single element. Hence, we define

$$\Gamma_{P,N} := \tilde{\Gamma}_{P,N}.$$

Here, introducing simpler notation, we express each element  $\xi$  of  $\Gamma_{P,N}$  as follows:

$$\xi \equiv v_1 \cdots v_m \in (FB_{\leq P}^+ \cup FB_{\leq N}^-)^*, \quad (30)$$

where for each  $j \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} v_j, v_{j+1} \in FB^\pm \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(v_j) \neq \mathcal{L}(v_{j+1}), \\ v_j \in FB^\pm, v_{j+1} \in FB^\mp \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}(v_j) \neq \mathcal{L}(v_{j+1}), \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

and we have

$$\text{Pos}(\xi) = P, \quad \text{Neg}(\xi) = N. \quad (32)$$

Then,  $\Gamma_{P,N}$  is given by

$$\Gamma_{P,N} = \{\xi \in (FB_{\leq P}^+ \cup FB_{\leq N}^-)^* \mid \xi \text{ is a word as in (30) with (31) and (32)}\}.$$

[**Case 4** :  $\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{N} = \mathbf{k}$ , and  $(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{N}) \notin \{(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{0}), (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{k})\}$  ] In this case, although we have  $\#SS_g \geq 2$  for each  $g \in G_{P,N}$ , a unique geodesic representative  $\eta$  of  $g$  can be specified by Proposition 3.9. Then, we define

$$\Gamma_{P,N} := \{\eta \in (\text{FB}_{\leq P}^+ \cup \text{FB}_{\leq N}^-)^* \mid \eta \text{ satisfies (24), (25), (26) and (27)}\}.$$

Now, with the above, we define  $\Gamma$  to be the following disjoint union:

$$\Gamma := \Gamma_{k,0} \cup \Gamma_{0,k} \cup \bigcup_{P+N \leq k-1} \Gamma_{P,N} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{P+N=k \\ (P,N) \neq (k,0), (0,k)}} \Gamma_{P,N}. \quad (33)$$

Since every element of  $P_{I_2(k)}$  has a unique geodesic representative in  $\Gamma$ , the restriction of  $\pi$  to  $\Gamma$  is a bijective map to  $P_{I_2(k)}$ . We call a word in  $\Gamma$  the *geodesic normal form* of the corresponding element of  $P_{I_2(k)}$ .

We now proceed to construct automata that recognize all of the words in  $\Gamma$ . This is done separately in each of the cases considered above.

[**Case 1** :  $(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{N}) = (\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{0})$  ] It is clear that every word in the set  $\Gamma_{k,0}$  is recognized by the deterministic, finite-state automaton  $\mathbf{A}_{k,0}$  over  $\text{FB}^+ \cup \{\nabla\}$  defined by

- (i) States:  $\{\varepsilon\} \cup \text{FB}^+ \cup \{\nabla\}$ ;  
Initial state:  $\{\varepsilon\}$ ; Accept state:  $\{\nabla\}$ ;
- (ii) Transitions:
  - (ii-1)  $\forall v \in \text{FB}^+ \cup \{\nabla\}, \varepsilon \xrightarrow{v} v$ ;
  - (ii-2)  $\forall u, v \in \text{FB}^+, u \xrightarrow{v} v$   
if  $\mathcal{N}(u) \neq \mathcal{L}(v)$ ;
  - (ii-3)  $\forall u \in \text{FB}^+ \cup \{\nabla\}, u \xrightarrow{\nabla} \nabla$ .

[**Case 2** :  $(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{N}) = (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{k})$  ] For each word in  $\Gamma_{0,k}$ , its inverse is recognized by the automaton  $\mathbf{A}_{k,0}$  constructed in the previous case. Hence, we need not construct a new automaton in this case.

[**Case 3** :  $\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{N} \leq \mathbf{k} - 1$  ] It is readily seen that every word in the set  $\bigcup_{p \leq P, n \leq N} \Gamma_{p,n}$  is recognized by the deterministic, finite-state automaton  $\mathbf{A}_{\leq P, \leq N}$  over  $\text{FB}_{\leq P}^+ \cup \text{FB}_{\leq N}^-$  defined as follows:

- (i) States:  $\{\varepsilon\} \cup \text{FB}_{\leq P}^+ \cup \text{FB}_{\leq N}^-$ ;  
Initial state:  $\{\varepsilon\}$ ; Accept states:  $\{\varepsilon\} \cup \text{FB}_{\leq P}^+ \cup \text{FB}_{\leq N}^-$ ;
- (ii) Transitions:
  - (ii-1)  $\forall v \in \text{FB}_{\leq P}^+ \cup \text{FB}_{\leq N}^-, \varepsilon \xrightarrow{v} v$ ;



- (ii-2)  $\forall u, v \in \text{FB}_{\leq P}^+, u \xrightarrow{v} v$   
if  $\mathcal{N}(u) \neq \mathcal{L}(v)$ ;
- (ii-3)  $\forall u, v \in \text{FB}_{\leq N}^-, u \xrightarrow{v} v$   
if  $\mathcal{N}(u) \neq \mathcal{L}(v)$ ;
- (ii-4)  $\forall u \in \text{FB}_{\leq P}^+, \forall v \in \text{FB}_{\leq N}^-, u \xrightarrow{v} v$   
if  $\mathcal{R}(u) \neq \mathcal{L}(v)$ ;
- (ii-5)  $\forall u \in \text{FB}_{\leq N}^-, \forall v \in \text{FB}_{\leq P}^+, u \xrightarrow{v} v$   
if  $\mathcal{R}(u) \neq \mathcal{L}(v)$ .

[Case 4 :  $\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{N} = \mathbf{k}$ , and  $(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{N}) \notin \{(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{0}), (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{k})\}$  ] For each  $p$  and  $n$  satisfying  $p + n \leq k - 1$ , let  $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\leq p, \leq n}$  be the labeled directed graph obtained from  $\mathbf{A}_{\leq p, \leq n}$  by deleting the state  $\{\varepsilon\}$  and the outgoing transitions from  $\{\varepsilon\}$ . Let  $\mathbf{B}_{0, N}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{B}_{P, 0}$ ) be the graph consisting of  $k$  isolated nodes labeled by the elements of  $\text{FB}_N^-$  (resp.  $\text{FB}_P^+$ ). Combining the graphs  $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\leq P-1, \leq N-1}$ ,  $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\leq P-1, \leq N}$  and  $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\leq P, \leq N-1}$ , linked at  $\mathbf{B}_{0, N}$  and  $\mathbf{B}_{P, 0}$ , we construct the deterministic, finite-state automaton  $\mathbf{A}_{P, N}$  over  $\text{FB}_{\leq P}^+ \cup \text{FB}_{\leq N}^-$  depicted in Figure 1, which recognizes all of the geodesic normal forms in  $\Gamma_{P, N}$ :

- (i) States:  $\{\varepsilon\} \cup \{\text{vertices of } \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\leq P-1, \leq N-1}\} \cup \{\text{nodes of } \mathbf{B}_{0, N}\} \cup \{\text{vertices of } \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\leq P-1, \leq N}\} \cup \{\text{nodes of } \mathbf{B}_{P, 0}\} \cup \{\text{vertices of } \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\leq P, \leq N-1}\}$ ;  
Initial state:  $\{\varepsilon\}$ ; Accept states:  $\{\text{nodes of } \mathbf{B}_{P, 0}\} \cup \{\text{vertices of } \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\leq P, \leq N-1}\}$ ;
- (ii) Transitions:
  - (ii-1) Between the initial state and the outgoing blocks:  
 $\forall v \in \{\text{vertices of } \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\leq P-1, \leq N-1}\} \cup \{\text{nodes of } \mathbf{B}_{0, N}\}, \varepsilon \xrightarrow{v} v$ ;
  - (ii-2) Inside of  $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\leq P-1, \leq N-1}$ ,  $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\leq P-1, \leq N}$  and  $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\leq P, \leq N-1}$ :  
The transitions are represented by the directed edges that come from the original automata  $\mathbf{A}_{\leq P-1, \leq N-1}$ ,  $\mathbf{A}_{\leq P-1, \leq N}$  and  $\mathbf{A}_{\leq P, \leq N-1}$ ;
  - (ii-3) Between the other blocks:
    - (ii-3-1) Between  $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\leq P-1, \leq N-1}$  and  $\mathbf{B}_{0, N}$ :
      - (ii-3-1-1)  $\forall u \in \{\text{vertices of } \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\leq P-1, \leq N-1}\} \cap \text{FB}^+, \forall v \in \{\text{nodes of } \mathbf{B}_{0, N}\}, u \xrightarrow{v} v$   
if  $\mathcal{R}(u) \neq \mathcal{L}(v)$ ;
      - (ii-3-1-2)  $\forall u \in \{\text{vertices of } \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\leq P-1, \leq N-1}\} \cap \text{FB}^-, \forall v \in \{\text{nodes of } \mathbf{B}_{0, N}\}, u \xrightarrow{v} v$   
if  $\mathcal{N}(u) \neq \mathcal{L}(v)$ ;
    - (ii-3-2) Between  $\mathbf{B}_{0, N}$  and  $\mathbf{B}_{P, 0}$ :  
 $\forall u \in \{\text{nodes of } \mathbf{B}_{0, N}\}, \forall v \in \{\text{nodes of } \mathbf{B}_{P, 0}\}, u \xrightarrow{v} v$   
if  $\mathcal{R}(u) \neq \mathcal{L}(v)$ ;
    - (ii-3-3) Between  $\mathbf{B}_{0, N}$  and  $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\leq P-1, \leq N}$ :
      - (ii-3-3-1)  $\forall u \in \{\text{nodes of } \mathbf{B}_{0, N}\}, \forall v \in \{\text{vertices of } \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\leq P-1, \leq N}\} \cap \text{FB}^+, u \xrightarrow{v} v$   
if  $\mathcal{R}(u) \neq \mathcal{L}(v)$ ;
      - (ii-3-3-2)  $\forall u \in \{\text{nodes of } \mathbf{B}_{0, N}\}, \forall v \in \{\text{vertices of } \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\leq P-1, \leq N}\} \cap \text{FB}^-, u \xrightarrow{v} v$   
if  $\mathcal{N}(u) \neq \mathcal{L}(v)$ ;



- (ii-3-4) Between  $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\leq P-1, \leq N}$  and  $\mathbf{B}_{P,0}$ :  
(ii-3-4-1)  $\forall u \in \{\text{vertices of } \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\leq P-1, \leq N}\} \cap \text{FB}^+, \forall v \in \{\text{nodes of } \mathbf{B}_{P,0}\}, u \xrightarrow{v} v$   
if  $\mathcal{N}(u) \neq \mathcal{L}(v)$ ;  
(ii-3-4-2)  $\forall u \in \{\text{vertices of } \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\leq P-1, \leq N}\} \cap \text{FB}^-, \forall v \in \{\text{nodes of } \mathbf{B}_{P,0}\}, u \xrightarrow{v} v$   
if  $\mathcal{R}(u) \neq \mathcal{L}(v)$ ;  
(ii-3-5) Between  $\mathbf{B}_{P,0}$  and  $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\leq P, \leq N-1}$ :  
(ii-3-5-1)  $\forall u \in \{\text{nodes of } \mathbf{B}_{P,0}\}, \forall v \in \{\text{vertices of } \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\leq P, \leq N-1}\} \cap \text{FB}^+, u \xrightarrow{v} v$   
if  $\mathcal{N}(u) \neq \mathcal{L}(v)$ ;  
(ii-3-5-2)  $\forall u \in \{\text{nodes of } \mathbf{B}_{P,0}\}, \forall v \in \{\text{vertices of } \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\leq P, \leq N-1}\} \cap \text{FB}^-, u \xrightarrow{v} v$   
if  $\mathcal{R}(u) \neq \mathcal{L}(v)$ .




Figure 1. The automaton  $\mathbf{A}_{P,N}$  ( $P + N = k$ ,  $(P, N) \notin \{(k, 0), (0, k)\}$ ).

## 5 Spherical growth series for $P_{I_2(k)}$

In this section, considering the structure of the automata constructed in § 4, we derive an explicit rational function expression for the spherical growth series  $\mathcal{S}_{P_{I_2(k)}}(t)$  with respect to the generating set  $A$ .

For each pair  $(P, N)$ , let

$$\mathcal{S}_{P,N}(t) := \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \sharp\{\xi \in \Gamma_{P,N} \mid |\xi| = q\} t^q$$

be the spherical growth series for  $\Gamma_{P,N}$ . Then, from the partition (33), we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{P_{I_2(k)}}(t) = \mathcal{S}_{k,0}(t) + \mathcal{S}_{0,k}(t) + \sum_{P+N \leq k-1} \mathcal{S}_{P,N}(t) + \sum_{\substack{P+N=k \\ (P,N) \neq (k,0), (0,k)}} \mathcal{S}_{P,N}(t). \quad (34)$$

In order to simplify the presentation of the growth series, for each  $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we introduce the following:

$$\begin{cases} T_q &:= t + t^2 + \cdots + t^q, \text{ for } q \geq 1, \\ T_0 &:= 0. \end{cases}$$

First, consider the case  $P + N \leq k - 1$ . In this case, we obtain

**Proposition 5.1** *For each  $P, N$  satisfying  $P + N \leq k - 1$ , we have*

$$\sum_{0 \leq p \leq P, 0 \leq n \leq N} \mathcal{S}_{p,n}(t) = \frac{1 + T_P + T_N}{1 - (k - 1)(T_P + T_N)}.$$

*Proof.* Choose any  $P \in \{0, \dots, k - 1\}$  and  $N \in \{0, \dots, k - 1\}$  with  $P + N \leq k - 1$ . For  $q \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we define

$$B_q(P; N) := \{\xi \equiv v_1 \cdots v_m \in \bigcup_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq P \\ 0 \leq n \leq N}} \Gamma_{p,n} \mid |\xi| = q\}$$

and

$$\beta_q(P; N) := \sharp B_q(P; N).$$

Then, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq P \\ 0 \leq n \leq N}} \mathcal{S}_{p,n}(t) = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \beta_q(P; N) t^q.$$

Further, note that for  $q = 0$ , we have

$$\beta_0(P; N) = 1. \quad (35)$$

Also, it is obvious that the result holds for the case  $(P, N) = (0, 0)$ .

**Lemma 5.2** *We have the following recursive formulas for  $\beta_q(P; N)$ :*

1. *If  $P \neq 0$  and  $N \neq 0$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_q(P; N) &= (k - 1)\{\beta_{q-1}(P; N) + \cdots + \beta_{q-P}(P; N)\} \\ &+ (k - 1)\{\beta_{q-1}(P; N) + \cdots + \beta_{q-N}(P; N)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where  $q \geq \max\{P, N\} + 1$ .

2. *If  $N = 0$  and  $P \geq 1$  (resp.  $P = 0$  and  $N \geq 1$ ), then*

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_q(P; 0) &= (k - 1)\{\beta_{q-1}(P; 0) + \cdots + \beta_{q-P}(P; 0)\}, \\ (\text{resp. } \beta_q(0; N) &= (k - 1)\{\beta_{q-1}(0; N) + \cdots + \beta_{q-N}(0; N)\}), \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where  $q \geq P + 1$  (resp.  $q \geq N + 1$ ).

*Proof. 1.* Employing the automaton  $\mathbf{A}_{\leq P, \leq N}$ , we observe the following:

- Let  $I \in \{1, \dots, P\}$ . For each element  $v_1 \cdots v_{m-1} \in B_{q-I}(P; N)$ , from (ii-2) and (ii-5) of  $\mathbf{A}_{\leq P, \leq N}$ , it is seen that we have  $k - 1$  choices of  $v_m \in \text{FB}_I^+$  such that  $v_1 \cdots v_{m-1} \cdot v_m$  is an element of  $B_q(P; N)$ .
- Let  $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ . For each element  $v_1 \cdots v_{m-1} \in B_{q-J}(P; N)$ , from (ii-3) and (ii-4) of  $\mathbf{A}_{\leq P, \leq N}$ , it is seen that we have  $k - 1$  choices of  $v_m \in \text{FB}_J^-$  such that  $v_1 \cdots v_{m-1} \cdot v_m$  is an element of  $B_q(P; N)$ .

Thus, we obtain the recursive formula appearing in (36).

2. Employing the automaton  $\mathbf{A}_{\leq P,0}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{A}_{0,\leq N}$ ), we obtain the recursive formula appearing in (37) in the same manner.  $\square$

Now, we consider several beginning coefficients  $\beta_q(P; N)$  for each pair  $(P, N)$ .

First, consider the cases  $N = 0, P \neq 0$  and  $P = 0, N \neq 0$ . In these cases, we have

$$\begin{cases} \beta_q(P; 0) = k^q, & \text{for } 1 \leq q \leq P, \\ \beta_q(0; N) = k^q, & \text{for } 1 \leq q \leq N. \end{cases} \quad (38)$$

Thus, using the recursive formula (37) with (35) and (38), we confirm the following:

$$\begin{cases} \left( \sum_{0 \leq p \leq P} \mathcal{S}_{p,0}(t) \right) \times \{1 - (k-1)(t + t^2 + \cdots + t^P)\} = 1 + t + t^2 + \cdots + t^P, \\ \left( \sum_{0 \leq n \leq N} \mathcal{S}_{0,n}(t) \right) \times \{1 - (k-1)(t + t^2 + \cdots + t^N)\} = 1 + t + t^2 + \cdots + t^N. \end{cases}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{0 \leq p \leq P} \mathcal{S}_{p,0}(t) = \frac{1 + t + t^2 + \cdots + t^P}{1 - (k-1)(t + t^2 + \cdots + t^P)} = \frac{1 + T_P}{1 - (k-1)T_P}, \\ \sum_{0 \leq n \leq N} \mathcal{S}_{0,n}(t) = \frac{1 + t + t^2 + \cdots + t^N}{1 - (k-1)(t + t^2 + \cdots + t^N)} = \frac{1 + T_N}{1 - (k-1)T_N}, \end{cases}$$

for each  $t$  in a sufficiently small neighborhood of the origin, 0.

Next, consider the case  $P = N = 1$ . In this case, we have

$$\beta_1(1; 1) = 2k, \quad (39)$$

and, from (36), for  $q \geq 2$ ,

$$\beta_q(1; 1) = 2(k-1)\beta_{q-1}(1; 1).$$

Hence, from (35) and (39), we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq 1 \\ 0 \leq n \leq 1}} \mathcal{S}_{p,n}(t) = \frac{1 + 2t}{1 - 2(k-1)t} = \frac{1 + T_1 + T_1}{1 - (k-1)T_1 - (k-1)T_1}.$$

Now, consider the general case,  $P \geq 1, N \geq 1$  with  $(P, N) \neq (1, 1)$ . In this case, we have

**Lemma 5.3** *Let the pair  $(P, N)$  satisfy  $P \geq 1, N \geq 1$  and  $(P, N) \neq (1, 1)$ . Then the following hold.*

$$\begin{cases} \beta_q = 2k(2k-1)^{q-1}, & (1 \leq \forall q \leq \min\{P, N\}), \\ \beta_q = 2k(2k-1)^{q-1} - k, & (q = \min\{P, N\} + 1), \\ \beta_q = (2k-1)\beta_{q-1} - (k-1)\beta_{q-\min\{P, N\}-1}, & (\min\{P, N\} + 2 \leq \forall q \leq \max\{P, N\}), \end{cases} \quad (40)$$

where  $\beta_q$  represents  $\beta_q(P; N)$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that the first equality holds. In the case  $P = N$ , the second and third equalities do not appear. Thus, we turn to the case  $P \neq N$ . Here, we consider only the case  $N < P$ , because the result for the case  $P < N$  can be shown similarly. Then, we have  $\min\{P, N\} = N$  and  $\max(P, N) = P$ . In this case, it is readily verified that  $\beta_N = 2k(2k-1)^{N-1}$ . Now, let  $w$  be an element of  $B_N(P, N)$ . Then, there are  $2k-1$  choices of  $a \in A = A^+ \cup A^-$  such that  $|w \cdot a| = \|\pi(w \cdot a)\| = N+1$ . Among such elements  $w \cdot a$  ( $a \in A$ ), there are  $k$  elements that belong to  $\text{FB}_{N+1}^-$ . We do not regard these  $k$  elements as elements of  $B_{N+1}(P; N)$ . Hence, we obtain the second equality in (40). Now, let  $q$  be an integer satisfying  $N+2 \leq q \leq P$ . Choose an element  $v$  from  $B_{q-1}(P; N)$ . Then, there are  $2k-1$  choices of  $a \in A$  such that  $|v \cdot a| = \|\pi(v \cdot a)\| = q$ . Among such elements  $v \cdot a$  ( $a \in A$ ), there are  $\beta_{q-N-1} \times (k-1)$  elements for which  $v \cdot a \equiv u_1 \cdot u_2$  and  $|u_1 \cdot u_2| = \|\pi(u_1 \cdot u_2)\| = q$  hold for some elements  $u_1 \in B_{q-N-1}(P; N)$  and  $u_2 \in \text{FB}_{N+1}^-$ . We do not regard these  $(k-1)\beta_{q-N-1}$  elements to be elements of  $B_q(P; N)$ . Hence, we obtain the third equality in (40).  $\square$

Using (35), (40) and the recursive formula (36), we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq P \\ 0 \leq n \leq N}} \mathcal{S}_{p,n}(t) = \frac{1+t+t^2+\cdots+t^P+t+t^2+\cdots+t^N}{1-(k-1)(t+t^2+\cdots+t^P)-(k-1)(t+t^2+\cdots+t^N)} = \frac{1+T_P+T_N}{1-(k-1)(T_P+T_N)}$$

for each  $t$  in a sufficiently small neighborhood of the origin, 0.  $\square$

By considering subseries of the above, we obtain

**Corollary 5.4** *Let  $P$  and  $N$  be integers such that  $P+N \leq k-1$ . Then, for each  $u \in \text{FB}_{\leq P}^+$  (resp.  $w \in \text{FB}_{\leq N}^-$ ), the spherical growth series for the set*

$$\Lambda_u := \{v_1 \cdots v_m \in \bigcup_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq P \\ 0 \leq n \leq N}} \Gamma_{p,n} \mid v_1 \equiv u\} \quad \left( \text{resp. } \Lambda_w := \{v_1 \cdots v_m \in \bigcup_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq P \\ 0 \leq n \leq N}} \Gamma_{p,n} \mid v_1 \equiv w\} \right)$$

is

$$\frac{t^{|u|}}{1-(k-1)(T_P+T_N)} \quad \left( \text{resp. } \frac{t^{|w|}}{1-(k-1)(T_P+T_N)} \right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{L}(u) = a_i$  and  $\mathcal{L}(w) = a_j$ . Then, we define

$$\Lambda_0 := \left\{ v_1 \cdot v_2 \cdots v_m \in \bigcup_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq P \\ 0 \leq n \leq N}} \Gamma_{p,n} \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{if } v_1 \in \text{FB}_{\leq P}^+, \text{ then } \mathcal{L}(v_1) \neq a_i, \\ \text{if } v_1 \in \text{FB}_{\leq N}^-, \text{ then } \mathcal{L}(v_1) \neq a_j \end{array} \right\}.$$

Replacing (ii-1) of the automaton  $\mathbf{A}_{\leq P, \leq N}$  by the new specification

$$(ii-1)' \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (ii-1-1)' & \forall v \in \text{FB}_{\leq P}^+, \varepsilon \xrightarrow{v} v, \\ & \text{if } \mathcal{L}(v) \neq a_i; \\ (ii-1-2)' & \forall v \in \text{FB}_{\leq N}^-, \varepsilon \xrightarrow{v} v, \\ & \text{if } \mathcal{L}(v) \neq a_j, \end{array} \right.$$

we obtain a deterministic, finite state automaton  $\mathbf{A}'_{\leq P, \leq N}$  that recognizes all of the words in  $\mathbf{\Lambda}_0$ . Thus, the spherical growth series  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}_0}(t)$  for the set  $\mathbf{\Lambda}_0$  has a rational function expression. Hence, we can write

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}_0}(t) = \frac{E(t)}{F(t)}, \quad (41)$$

where  $E(t)$  and  $F(t)$  are polynomials.

Now, for each  $I \in \{1, \dots, P\}$  and  $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\Lambda}_I^+ &:= \{v_1 \cdots v_m \in \bigcup_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq P \\ 0 \leq n \leq N}} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{p,n} \mid v_1 \in \text{FB}_I^+, \mathcal{L}(v_1) = a_i\}, \\ \mathbf{\Lambda}_J^- &:= \{v_1 \cdots v_m \in \bigcup_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq P \\ 0 \leq n \leq N}} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{p,n} \mid v_1 \in \text{FB}_J^-, \mathcal{L}(v_1) = a_j\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, note that  $\bigcup_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq P \\ 0 \leq n \leq N}} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{p,n}$  can be decomposed into the following disjoint union:

$$\bigcup_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq P \\ 0 \leq n \leq N}} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{p,n} = \mathbf{\Lambda}_0 \cup \mathbf{\Lambda}_1^+ \cup \cdots \cup \mathbf{\Lambda}_P^+ \cup \mathbf{\Lambda}_1^- \cup \cdots \cup \mathbf{\Lambda}_N^-. \quad (42)$$

Next, we define

$$\widehat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_I^+ := \{v_2 \cdots v_m \in \bigcup_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq P \\ 0 \leq n \leq N}} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{p,n} \mid v_1 \cdot v_2 \cdots v_m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_I^+\}.$$

Consider the Cayley graph of  $P_{I_2(k)}$  over the generating set  $A$ . It is then seen that the subgraphs corresponding to  $\widehat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_I^+$  and  $\mathbf{\Lambda}_0$  are isometric. Thus, the spherical growth series for  $\widehat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_I^+$  is identical to that for  $\mathbf{\Lambda}_0$ . Hence, the spherical growth series for  $\mathbf{\Lambda}_I^+$  is equal to  $t^I \times \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}_0}(t)$ . Similarly, the spherical growth series for  $\mathbf{\Lambda}_J^-$  is equal to  $t^J \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}_0}(t)$ . Therefore, from Proposition 5.1, the equality (41) and the decomposition (42), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 + T_P + T_N}{1 - (k-1)(T_P + T_N)} &= \frac{E(t)}{F(t)} + t \frac{E(t)}{F(t)} + \cdots + t^P \frac{E(t)}{F(t)} + t \frac{E(t)}{F(t)} + \cdots + t^N \frac{E(t)}{F(t)} \\ &= (1 + T_P + T_N) \frac{E(t)}{F(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\frac{E(t)}{F(t)} = \frac{1}{1 - (k-1)(T_P + T_N)}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda_I^+}(t) = \frac{t^I}{1 - (k-1)(T_P + T_N)}, \quad \mathcal{S}_{\Lambda_J^-}(t) = \frac{t^J}{1 - (k-1)(T_P + T_N)}.$$

Finally, since  $\Lambda_u = \Lambda_{|u|}^+$  and  $\Lambda_w = \Lambda_{|w|}^-$  by definition, the result follows.  $\square$

Now, consider the positive monoid  $P_{I_2(k)}^+$ . Following Proposition 2.9, we regard  $P_{I_2(k)}^+$  as a subset of  $P_{I_2(k)}$ . Moreover, we identify  $P_{I_2(k)}^+$  with  $\bigcup_{0 \leq p \leq k} \Gamma_{p,0}$  (disjoint union). Also, we identify  $\bigcup_{0 \leq p \leq k-1} \Gamma_{p,0}$  with the maximal subset of  $P_{I_2(k)}^+$  whose elements contain no positive word  $u$  satisfying  $u = \nabla$ . With these identifications, we consider the growth series for subsets of  $P_{I_2(k)}^+$ . Using Proposition 5.1, we can obtain their rational function expressions according to the following (refer to [F-S 2] for the proof):

**Proposition 5.5** *Let  $D_k(t)$  be a polynomial defined by*

$$D_k(t) := 1 - kt + (k-1)t^k = (1-t)\{1 - (k-1)T_{k-1}\}.$$

*Then, we have*

$$\mathcal{S}_{k,0}(t) = \frac{t^k}{D_k(t)},$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_{P_{I_2(k)}^+}(t) := \sum_{0 \leq p \leq k} \mathcal{S}_{p,0}(t) = \frac{1}{D_k(t)}.$$

Next, consider the case  $P + N = k$  with  $(P, N) \notin \{(k, 0), (0, k)\}$ . In this case, we have

**Proposition 5.6** *Let  $P$  and  $N$  be integers that satisfy  $P+N = k$  and  $(P, N) \notin \{(k, 0), (0, k)\}$ . Then, we have*

$$\mathcal{S}_{P,N}(t) = \frac{k(k-1)t^k}{\{1 - (k-1)(T_{P-1} + T_{N-1})\}\{1 - (k-1)(T_{P-1} + T_N)\}\{1 - (k-1)(T_P + T_{N-1})\}}.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$\eta \equiv \xi^{(1)} \cdot \lambda \cdot \xi^{(2)} \cdot \mu \cdot \xi^{(3)} \quad (43)$$

be the geodesic normal form of the form given in Proposition 3.9. Then, the subwords  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\xi^{(1)}$ ,  $\xi^{(2)}$  and  $\xi^{(3)}$  satisfy the conditions (24)–(27). Fix  $\lambda$ . Then, for each  $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  (resp.  $I \in \{1, \dots, P-1\}$ ), there exists a unique element  $w_\lambda \in \text{FB}_J^-$  (resp.  $u_\lambda \in \text{FB}_I^+$ ) such that  $\mathcal{L}(w_\lambda) = \mathcal{N}(\lambda)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{L}(u_\lambda) = \mathcal{R}(\lambda)$ ). Hence, by Corollary 5.4, the spherical growth series for the sets

$$\{v_1 \cdots v_m \in \bigcup_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq P-1 \\ 0 \leq n \leq N}} \Gamma_{p,n} \mid v_1 \equiv w_\lambda\}$$

and

$$\{v_1 \cdots v_m \in \bigcup_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq P-1 \\ 0 \leq n \leq N}} \Gamma_{p,n} \mid v_1 \equiv u_\lambda\}$$

are

$$\frac{t^J}{1 - (k-1)(T_{P-1} + T_N)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{t^I}{1 - (k-1)(T_{P-1} + T_N)},$$

respectively. Also, there are  $k-1$  choices for  $\mu$  that yield the geodesic normal form (43). Consequently, with Proposition 5.1, the spherical growth series for the set

$$\{\lambda \cdot \xi^{(2)} \cdot \mu \mid \lambda \cdot \xi^{(2)} \cdot \mu \text{ is a subword as in (43)}\}$$

is found to be

$$\left( \frac{1 + T_{P-1} + T_N}{1 - (k-1)(T_{P-1} + T_N)} - \frac{T_{P-1} + T_N}{1 - (k-1)(T_{P-1} + T_N)} \right) (k-1)t^k = \frac{(k-1)t^k}{1 - (k-1)(T_{P-1} + T_N)}.$$

Then, applying similar arguments to  $\xi^{(1)}$  and  $\xi^{(3)}$  (and noting that there are  $k$  choices of  $\lambda$ ), we obtain the result.  $\square$

With the above preparation, we finally obtain the rational function expression for the spherical growth series of  $P_{I_2(k)}$ :

**Theorem 5.7** *The spherical growth series for the pure Artin group  $P_{I_2(k)}$  of dihedral type with respect to the generating set  $A$  has the rational function expression*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{P_{I_2(k)}}(t) &= \frac{2t^k}{(1-t)\{1 - (k-1)T_{k-1}\}} \\ &+ \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} \frac{k(k-1)t^k}{\{1 - (k-1)(T_{p-1} + T_{k-1-p})\}\{1 - (k-1)(T_{p-1} + T_{k-p})\}\{1 - (k-1)(T_p + T_{k-1-p})\}} \\ &+ \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \frac{1 + T_p + T_{k-1-p}}{1 - (k-1)(T_p + T_{k-1-p})} - \sum_{p=0}^{k-2} \frac{1 + T_p + T_{k-2-p}}{1 - (k-1)(T_p + T_{k-2-p})} \\ &= \frac{1 + t^k}{(1-t)\{1 - (k-1)T_{k-1}\}} \\ &+ \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} \frac{k(t^{k-p} + t^p)}{2\{1 - (k-1)(T_{p-1} + T_{k-p})\}\{1 - (k-1)(T_p + T_{k-1-p})\}}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The first equality is obtained by simply combining Propositions 5.1, 5.5 and 5.6, and using the trick described by Lemma 5.3 of [M-M]. Next, note that we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{i,0}(t) = \mathcal{S}_{0,i}(t), \quad (44)$$

for each  $i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ . Using (44), we add each term of  $\mathcal{S}_{p,n}(t)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{P_{I_2(k)}}(t) &= \sum_{\substack{P, N \geq 1 \\ P+N=k}} \left\{ \mathcal{S}_{P,N}(t) - \sum_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq P-1 \\ 0 \leq n \leq N-1}} \mathcal{S}_{p,n}(t) + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq P-1 \\ 0 \leq n \leq N}} \mathcal{S}_{p,n}(t) + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq P \\ 0 \leq n \leq N-1}} \mathcal{S}_{p,n}(t) \right\} \right\} \\ &+ \mathcal{S}_{k,0}(t) + \sum_{0 \leq p \leq k} \mathcal{S}_{p,0}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Then, for each  $P (\geq 1)$  and  $N (\geq 1)$  satisfying  $P + N = k$ , by Propositions 5.1 and 5.6, we obtain the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{P,N}(t) - \sum_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq P-1 \\ 0 \leq n \leq N-1}} \mathcal{S}_{p,n}(t) + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq P-1 \\ 0 \leq n \leq N}} \mathcal{S}_{p,n}(t) + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq P \\ 0 \leq n \leq N-1}} \mathcal{S}_{p,n}(t) \right\} \\ = \frac{k(t^N + t^P)}{2\{1 - (k-1)(T_{P-1} + T_N)\}\{1 - (k-1)(T_P + T_{N-1})\}}. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Finally, using (45) and (46), with Proposition 5.5, we obtain the second equality of the theorem.  $\square$

### Example 5.8

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{P_{I_2(3)}}(t) &= \frac{(1+t)(1-2t)(1+2t^2)}{(1-t)(1-4t)(1-2t-2t^2)} \\ &= 1 + 6t + 30t^2 + 134t^3 + 570t^4 + 2370t^5 + 9722t^6 + 39546t^7 + O(t^8), \\ \mathcal{S}_{P_{I_2(4)}}(t) &= \frac{(1+t)(1-9t+15t^2+21t^3-27t^4+27t^5+27t^6+9t^7)}{(1-t)(1-6t-3t^2)^2(1-3t-3t^2-3t^3)} \\ &= 1 + 8t + 56t^2 + 392t^3 + 2702t^4 + 18488t^5 + 125912t^6 + 854480t^7 + O(t^8), \\ \mathcal{S}_{P_{I_2(5)}}(t) &= \frac{(1+t)(1+2t+2t^2)(1-14t+50t^2-24t^3-16t^4+32t^5+16t^7)}{(1-t)(1-8t-8t^2)(1-8t-4t^2-4t^3)(1-4t-4t^2-4t^3-4t^4)} \\ &= 1 + 10t + 90t^2 + 810t^3 + 7290t^4 + 65522t^5 + 588450t^6 + 5281730t^7 + O(t^8). \end{aligned}$$

### References

- [Be] M. Berger, *Minimum crossing numbers for 3-braids*, J.Phys. A **27**(18) (1994), 6205–6213.
- [Bi] J.S. Birman, *Braids, Links, and Mapping Class Groups*, Ann.Math.Studies **82**, Princeton Univ.Press, Princeton, 1975.
- [Br] M. Brazil, *Monoid growth functions for braid groups*, Internat.J.Algebra Comput. **1**(2) (1991), 201–205.
- [B-Sai] E. Brieskorn and K. Saito, *Artin-Gruppen und Coxeter-Gruppen*, Invent.Math. **17** (1972), 245–271.
- [Ca] J.W. Cannon, *The combinatorial structure of cocompact discrete hyperbolic groups*, Geom.Dedicata **16** (1984), 123–148.
- [Ca-W] J.W. Cannon and Ph. Wagreich, *Growth functions of surface groups*, Math.Ann. **293** (1992), 239–257.
- [C1] R. Charney, *Artin groups of finite type are biautomatic*, Math.Ann. **292** (1992), 671–683.



- [C2] R. Charney, *Geodesic automation and growth functions for Artin groups of finite type*, Math. Ann. **301** (1995), 307–324.
- [dlH] P. de la Harpe, *Topics in Geometric Group Theory*, Chicago Lectures in Math., The Univ. Chicago Press, 2000.
- [D] P. Deligne, *Les immeubles des groupes de tresses généralisés*, Invent. Math. **17** (1972), 273–302.
- [E] D.B.A. Epstein with J.W. Cannon, D.F. Holt, S.V.F. Levy, M.S. Paterson and W.P. Thurston, *Word Processing in Groups*, Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Boston, 1992.
- [E-IF-Z] D.B.A. Epstein, A.R. Iano-Fletcher and U. Zwick, *Growth functions and automatic groups*, Experiment. Math. **5** (1996), 297–315.
- [Fl-P] W.J. Floyd and S.P. Plotnick, *Growth functions on Fuchsian groups and the Euler characteristic*, Invent. Math. **88** (1987), 1–29.
- [F-S 1] M. Fujii and T. Satoh, *A necessary condition for representatives of elements of Artin groups of finite type to be geodesic*, to appear in Hiroshima Math. J. **43** (2013).
- [F-S 2] M. Fujii and T. Satoh, *The growth series for pure Artin monoids of dihedral type*. Preprint, 2012.
- [G] F. Garside, *The braid groups and other groups*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford **20** (1969), 235–254.
- [K] D.C. Kozen, *Automata and Computability*, Undergraduate Texts in Computer Science, Springer, 1997.
- [M-M] J. Mairesse and F. Mathéus, *Growth series for Artin groups of dihedral type*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **16** (2006), 1087–1107.
- [Mic] J. Michel, *A note on words in braid monoids*, J. Algebra **215**(1) (1999), 366–377.
- [Mil] J. Milnor, *A note on curvature and fundamental group*, J. Differ. Geom. **2** (1968), 1–7.
- [O] O. Öre, *Linear equations in non-commutative fields*, Ann. Math. **32** (1931), 463–477.
- [R] R. Randell, *The fundamental group of the complement of a union of complex hyperplanes*, Invent. Math. **69** (1982), 103–108.
- [Sab] L. Sabalka, *Geodesics in the braid group on three strands*, in Group Theory, Statistics and Cryptography, Contemporary Mathematics **360** (2004), 133–150.
- [Sc] A.S. Schwarz, *A volume invariant of coverings*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **105** (1955), 32–34.
- [St] M. Stoll, *Rational and transcendental growth series for the higher Heisenberg groups*, Invent. Math. **126** (1996), 85–109.